THE NAIVE BAYES CLASSIFIER The Naive Bayes Assumption NB is a plug-in method. It could be generative or discriminative, and parametric or nonparametric, depending on design choices. Let [X⁽¹⁾ ... X^(a)]^T e IR^d denote the random feature vector in a classification problem, and I the corresponding label. The NB classifier assumes that, given Y, X⁽¹⁾, ..., X^(d) are independent. Main Use: Features with Finite Range Let's assume each feature X^(j) takes values Z1,..., Z. In this setting, is NB generative or discriminatile? Parametric or nonparametric?

Example Document classification Suppose we wish to classify documents into categories like "busmess," "politics," "sports," etc. A simple yet popular feature representation is the bag-of-words representation. A document is represented as a vector $X = \begin{bmatrix} X^{(1)} & \dots & X^{(d)} \end{bmatrix}$ where d is the # of words in the vocabulary, $\chi^{(j)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j^{\text{th}} \text{ word occurs in document} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ and Let $g_k(x)$ be the pmb of X | Y = k. By the NB assumption, marginal pmb $\mathcal{J}_{k}(x) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{j} g_{k}(j)} \underbrace{\mathcal{L}}_{j=1}$ of X(g) | Y=k

Let
$$(x_{i}, y_{i}), ..., (x_{n}, y_{n})$$
 be training data, and let
 $\widehat{\pi}_{k} = \frac{15i : y_{i} = 131}{n}$
 $\widehat{g}_{k}^{(j)} = \text{estimate of } \widehat{g}_{k}^{(j)}$
Then the MB classifier is
 $\widehat{f}(x) = \arg \max_{k} \widehat{\pi}_{k} \cdot \widehat{\pi}_{l}^{T} g_{k}^{(j)}(x)$
So how should we estimate $g_{k}^{(j)}$? Davot
 $n_{k} = 15i : g_{i} = k \overline{3}$
 $n_{k\ell}^{(j)} = [Si : y_{i} = k \land x_{i}^{(j)} - z_{\ell} \overline{3}]$
Then the natural (and maximum likelihood)
estimate of
 $g_{k}^{(j)}(z_{\ell}) = \Pr\{\chi^{(j)} = z_{\ell} \mid Y = k \overline{3}$
is
 $\widehat{g}_{k}^{(j)}(z_{\ell}) = \frac{n_{k\ell}^{(j)}}{n_{k}}.$

Lecture Notes Page 3

Does this seen reasonable?
What would happen if all sports documents in
our training data containing ball will have a
prob of zero for the sports category. But this
may be undersable. An alternative is

$$g_{k}^{(j)}(z_{e}) = \frac{h_{ke}^{(j)} + 1}{h_{k} + L}$$

which corresponde to a Bayesian estimate (of would inomial
parameters with a Dirichlet prior).
Other Models
NB can be used when $X^{(j)}$ are continuous RVs.
Then we could model $X^{(j)}$ as a universiate
Gaussian and estimate the parameters via maximum
hikelihood. Alternatively, we could estimate the
magned densities $g_{k}^{(j)}$ with a nonparameter
density estimator, such as the kernel density estimator.

Lecture Notes Page 4