# A SHORT PROOF OF THE FACTOR THEOREM FOR FINITE GRAPHS 

w. T. TUTTE

We define a graph as a set $V$ of objects called vertices together with a set $E$ of objects called edges, the two sets having no common element. With each edge there are associated just two vertices, called its ends. We say that an edge joins its ends. Two vertices may be joined by more than one edge.

A subgraph $G^{\prime}$ of a graph $G$ is a graph whose edges and vertices are edges and vertices respectively of $G$ and in which each edge has the same ends as in $G$. If $S$ is any set of vertices of $G$ we denote by $G_{S}$ the subgraph of $G$ whose vertices are the vertices of $G$ not in $S$ and whose edges are the edges of $G$ not having an element of $S$ as an end.

A graph is finite if $V$ and $E$ are both finite and infinite otherwise. In this paper we consider only finite graphs.

Suppose given a finite graph $G$. For $a \in V$ and $A \in E$ we write $e(A, a)=1$ if $a$ is an end of $A$ and $e(A, a)=0$ otherwise. Let $f$ be a function which associates with each vertex $a$ of $G$ a unique positive integer $f(a)$. We say that $G$ is $f$-soluble for a given $f$ if to each $A \in E$ we can assign a non-negative integer $g(A)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{A} e(A, a) g(A)=f(a) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $a \in V$. If $E$ is null but $V$ is not null, we consider that $G$ is not $f$-soluble for any $f$. We ignore the case in which $V$ and $E$ are both null (when $G$ is the null graph).

It may be possible to solve (1) so that $g(A)=0$ or 1 for each $A$. Then we call the subgraph of $G$ whose vertices are the vertices of $G$ and whose edges are those edges $A$ of $G$ for which $g(A)=1$ an $f$-factor of $G$. Thus an $f$-factor of $G$ is a subgraph of $G$ such that each $a \in V$ is a vertex of the subgraph and an end of just $f(a)$ edges of the subgraph.

If $n$ is any positive integer we define an $n$-factor of $G$ as an $f$-factor such that $f(a)=n$ for each $a$.

Necessary and sufficient conditions are known for $f$-solubility, for the existence of an $f$-factor and for the existence of a 1 -factor. We state these as Theorems $A, B$, and $C$ after a few preliminary definitions.

The degree $d(a)$ of a vertex $a$ of $G$ is the number of edges of $G$ having $a$ as an end. If $S \subseteq V$ and $a \in V-S$ we denote the degree of $a$ in $G_{S}$ by $d_{S}(a)$.

Suppose $S \subseteq V$. We write $\alpha(S)$ for the number of vertices of $S$. The graph $G_{S}$ is uniquely decomposable into disjoint connected parts which we call components. (Hassler Whitney (6) uses the term connected pieces, and König

[^0](2) zusammenhängende Bestandteile.) We write $h_{u}(S)$ for the number of components of $G_{S}$ for which the number of vertices is odd. We write $T(S)$ for the set of vertices of $V-S$ which are joined only to vertices of $S$.

We denote by $q(S)$ the number of components $C$ of $G_{S}$ for which there is more than one vertex and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a \in C} f(a) \equiv 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\bmod 2)$.
Here we write $a \in C$ to denote that $a$ is a vertex of $C$.
Now suppose $T \subseteq V-S$. If $C$ is a component of $G_{S \cup T}$ we denote by $v(C)$ the number of edges of $G$ having one end a vertex of $C$ and the other an element of $T$. We denote by $q(S, T)$ the number of components $C$ of $G_{S U T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(C)+\sum_{a \in C} f(a) \equiv 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\bmod 2)$.
Theorem A. G is without a 1-factor if and only if there is a subset $S$ of $V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{u}(S)>\alpha(S) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem B. $G$ is not $f$-soluble if and only if there is a subset $S$ of $V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a \in S} f(a)<q(S)+\sum_{c \in T(S)} f(c) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem C. $G$ is without an f-factor if and only if there is a subset $S$ of $V$ and a subset $T$ of $V-S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a \in S} f(a)<q(S, T)+\sum_{c \in T}\left(f(c)-d_{S}(c)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A short proof of Theorem A has been given by the author (4). Maunsell (3) has improved it by substituting a piece of elementary graph theory for an appeal to the theory of determinants. Theorem B is readily deducible from Theorem C; details are given in (5). However, proofs of Theorem C, even in the special case dealing with $n$-factors, have hitherto been long and complicated $(\mathbf{1} ; \mathbf{5})$. In this paper we present a comparatively short argument whereby Theorem C is deduced as a consequence of Theorem A.

Deduction of Theorem C from Theorem A. Suppose first that $G$ has a vertex $a$ such that $d(a)<f(a)$. Then $G$ can have no $f$-factor. Moreover (6) is satisfied with $S=0$ and $T=\{a\}$. Thus Theorem C is trivially true in this case.

In the remaining case we have $d(a) \geqslant f(a)$ for each $a \in V$. We write $s(a)=d(a)-f(a)$.

Given any sufficiently large set $Q$ we define a graph $G^{\prime}$ whose vertices are elements of $Q$ in the following way. With each $c \in V$ we associate $d(c)$ distinct elements $c_{A}$ of $Q$, one for each edge $A$ of $G$ such that $e(A, c)=1$, and $s(c)$ other distinct elements $c(1), c(2), \ldots, c(s(c))$ of $Q$. We denote the sets of the $d(c)$ elements $c_{A}$ and the $s(c)$ elements $c(i)$ by $X(c)$ and $Y(c)$ respectively. We
postulate that the two sets $X(c) \cup Y(c)$ defined for two distinct elements $c$ of $V$ shall have no common element. The set $V^{\prime}$ of vertices of $G^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}=\bigcup_{c \in V}(X(c) \cup Y(c)) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any edge $A$ of $G$, with ends $x$ and $y$ say, we postulate that $G^{\prime}$ has just one edge joining $x_{A}$ and $y_{A}$. We denote this also by the symbol $A$. We further postulate that for each $c \in V$ each element of $X(c)$ is joined to each member of $Y(c)$ by just one edge of $G^{\prime}$, and that $G^{\prime}$ has no edges other than those required by these two rules.

For each $c \in V$, the elements of $X(c) \cup Y(c)$ and the edges of $G^{\prime}$ joining them constitute a subgraph, $\operatorname{St}(c)$, of $G^{\prime}$, which we call the star-graph of $c$ in $G^{\prime}$. $\operatorname{St}(c)$ is connected if $s(c)>0$, and in the case $s(c)=0$ only if $d(c)=f(c)=1$. The diagram shows a star-graph $\operatorname{St}(c)$ for the case $d(c)=4$ and $f(c)=2$. (The edges $A B C$ and $D$ in this diagram do not belong to $\operatorname{St}(c)$.)


G


Lemma. $G$ has an $f$-factor if and only if $G^{\prime}$ has a 1-factor.
Proof. If $G$ has an $f$-factor let $F$ be its set of edges and let $F^{\prime}$ be the set of edges of $G^{\prime}$ denoted by the same letters. For each $c \in V$ we adjoin to $F^{\prime}$ exactly $s(c)$ edges joining the $s(c)$ vertices of $Y(c)$ to the $s(c)$ vertices of $X(c)$ which are not ends of edges of $F^{\prime}$. By the definition of $G^{\prime}$ we can do this without introducing into $F^{\prime}$ two edges with a common end. We thus construct a 1 -factor of $G^{\prime}$.

Conversely suppose $G^{\prime}$ has a 1 -factor whose set of edges is $H$. Let $H_{0}$ be the set of edges of $H$ whose two ends are vertices of distinct star-graphs $\operatorname{St}(c)$. For each $c \in V$ just $s(c)$ elements of $H$ have an end in $Y(c)$ and therefore just $d(c)-s(c)=f(c)$ elements of $H_{0}$ have an end in $X(c)$. It follows that the edges of $G$ corresponding to the members of $H_{0}$ define an $f$-factor of $G$.

A subset $W$ of $V^{\prime}$ will be called simple if it satisfies the following conditions for each $a \in V$ :
(i) If $X(a) \cap W \neq 0$ then $X(a) \subseteq W$,
(ii) If $Y(a) \cap W \neq 0$ then $Y(a) \subseteq W$,
(iii) At most one of $X(a)$ and $Y(a)$ is a subset of $W$.

Condition (iii) implies that $X(a)$ cannot be a subset of $W$ when $Y(a)$ is the null set, i.e., when $d(a)=f(a)$.

Consider any simple subset $W$ of $V^{\prime}$. We write $S$ and $T$ for the sets of vertices $c$ of $G$ such that $X(c) \subseteq W$ and $Y(c) \subseteq W$ respectively. The sets $S$ and $T$ are disjoint. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(W)=\sum_{c \in T}(d(c)-f(c))+\sum_{a \in S} d(a) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H$ be any component of $G^{\prime}{ }_{W}$.
It may happen that $H$ has just one vertex, which is of the form $c_{A}$. Then $c \in T$ and the end of $A$ in $G$ other than $c$ belongs to $S$. The number of such components $H$ is the number of edges $A$ of $G$ having one end in $S$ and the other in $T$, that is

$$
\sum_{c \in T}\left(d(c)-d_{S}(c)\right)
$$

Another possibility is that $H$ has just one vertex, which is of the form $c(i)$. The number of such components is

$$
\sum_{a \in S}(d(a)-f(a))
$$

In the remaining case, $H$ has at least one edge. If $H$ has no edge in common with one of the star-graphs $\operatorname{St}(a)$ it must consist of a single edge with its two ends. Then the number of vertices of $H$ is even. If $H$ has an edge in common with $\operatorname{St}(a)$ then $Y(a) \neq 0$ and so $\operatorname{St}(a)$ is connected. Moreover $\operatorname{St}(a)$ is then a subgraph of $H$. A component of $G^{\prime}{ }_{W}$ having a connected star-graph $\operatorname{St}(a)$ with at least one edge as a subgraph will be called large.

Suppose $H$ is large. Let $M$ be the set of all vertices $a$ of $G$ such that $\operatorname{St}(a)$ is a connected subgraph of $H$ with at least one edge. Then $M \subseteq V-(S \cup T)$. $H$ is made up of these star-graphs $\operatorname{St}(a)$, a set $N$ of edges which link them to form a connected graph $H_{0}$ and a set $P$ of edges having one end a vertex of $H_{0}$ and one end a vertex $c_{A}$ such that $c \in T$. Clearly $M$ is the set of vertices of a component $K(H)$ of $G_{\text {SUT }}$. We may think of $K(H)$ as derived from $H_{0}$ by shrinking each of the star-graphs $\operatorname{St}(a), a \in M$, to a single vertex. Conversely suppose $K$ is any component of $G_{S U T}$. If $c$ is a vertex of $K$ then $Y(c) \neq 0$ since $c \nexists T$ and therefore $\operatorname{St}(c)$ is connected and has at least one edge. This stargraph is a subgraph of a large component $H$ of $G_{W}^{\prime}$ and we must have $K=K(H)$.

For a large component $H$ of $G^{\prime}{ }_{W}$ having just $n$ vertices

$$
\begin{align*}
n & =\sum_{a \in K(H)}\{d(a)+(d(a)-f(a))\}+v(K(H)) \\
& \equiv \sum_{a \in K(H)} f(a)+v(K(H))
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the number of large components of $G^{\prime}{ }_{W}$ for which the number of vertices is odd is $q(S, T)$.

Using (8) we obtain the formulae

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{u}(W) & =q(S, T)+\sum_{a \in S}(d(a)-f(a))+\sum_{c \in T}\left(d(c)-d_{S}(c)\right),  \tag{9}\\
h_{u}(W) & -\alpha(W)  \tag{10}\\
& =q(S, T)-\sum_{a \in S} f(a)+\sum_{c \in T}\left(f(c)-d_{S}(c)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities on the left in these equations are defined in terms of $G^{\prime}$, those on the right in terms of $G$.

Suppose there are disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $V$ satisfying (6). Select two such subsets so that $\alpha(S)$ has the least possible value. Assume that $f(b)=d(b)$ for some $b \in S$. If we replace $S$ by $S-\{b\}$ and $T$ by $T \cup\{b\}$ inequality (6) will remain valid, for with at most $d(b)$ exceptions the numbers $v(C)$ associated with the components of $G_{S U T}$ are unaltered. This contradicts the definition of $S$. Hence $f(b)<d(b)$ for each $b \in S$. Let $W$ be the union of the sets $X(a)$ such that $a \in S$ and the sets $Y(c)$ such that $c \in T$. Then $W$ is simple since $Y(c)$ is non-null when $X(c) \subseteq W$. It follows from (10) that $h_{u}(W)>\alpha(W)$ in $G^{\prime}$. Hence $G^{\prime}$ has no 1 -factor, by Theorem A. Hence $G$ has no $f$-factor, by the Lemma.

Conversely suppose $G$ has no $f$-factor. Then by Theorem A and the Lemma there is a set $W$ of vertices of $G^{\prime}$ such that $h_{u}(W)>\alpha(W)$. Choose such a $W$ so that $\alpha(W)$ has the least possible value.

Suppose there exists $a \in V$ such that $Y(a) \cap W \neq 0$ and $Y(a) \cap\left(V^{\prime}-W\right)$ $\neq 0$. Write $Z=W-(Y(a) \cap W)$. Then $G^{\prime}{ }_{W}$ and $G^{\prime}{ }_{z}$ differ in one component only, provided that $X(a)$ is not a subset of $W$, since the members of $Y(a)$ are all joined to the same vertices of $G^{\prime}$. If $X(a) \subseteq W$ then each component of $G_{W}^{\prime}$ is a component of $G^{\prime}{ }_{Z}$. In either case we have $h_{u}(Z) \geqslant h_{u}(W)-1$ and $\alpha(Z) \leqslant \alpha(W)-1$. Hence $h_{u}(Z)-\alpha(Z) \geqslant h_{u}(W)-\alpha(W)$, contrary to the definition of $W$. We deduce that $Y(a) \subseteq W$ if $Y(a) \cap W \neq 0$.

Suppose next that $X(a) \cap W \neq 0$. Choose $b \in X(a) \cap W$. Write $Z=W$ $-\{b\}$. There is at most one component of $G^{\prime}{ }_{W}$ which has a vertex not a member of $Y(a)$ joined to $b$ in $G^{\prime}$. Hence if $Y(a)$ is contained in $W$ the numbers $h_{u}(Z)$ and $h_{u}(W)$ can differ by at most one. Then $h_{u}(Z) \geqslant h_{u}(W)-1$, $\alpha(Z)=\alpha(W)-1$ and therefore $h_{u}(Z)-\alpha(Z) \geqslant h_{u}(W)-\alpha(W)$. This contradicts the definition of $W$. We deduce that, for the case $X(a) \cap W \neq 0$, $Y(a)$ is not a subset of $W$ and therefore $Y(a) \cap W=0$ by the result of the preceding paragraph. This proves that $X(a)$ and $Y(a)$ cannot both be subsets of $W$, since $X(a)$ is never null. $(d(a) \geqslant f(a)>0$.)

Suppose both $X(a) \cap W$ and $X(a) \cap\left(V^{\prime}-W\right)$ are non-null. We choose $b \in X(a) \cap W$ and write $Z=W-\{b\}$ as before. Since $Y(a) \cap W=0$ all the vertices of $Y(a)$ belong to one component of $G^{\prime}{ }_{W}$, for each is joined in $G^{\prime}$ to each vertex of $X(a) \cap\left(V^{\prime}-W\right)$. But there is at most one component of
$G_{W}^{\prime}$ which has a vertex not a member of $Y(a)$ joined to $b$ in $G^{\prime}$. Hence with at most two exceptions the components of $G_{W}^{\prime}$ are components of $G_{z}^{\prime}$. Accordingly

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{u}(Z) & \geqslant h_{u}(W)-2, \\
h_{u}(Z)-\alpha(Z) & \geqslant h_{u}(W)-\alpha(W)-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

But $h_{u}(Z)$ is by definition the number of components of $G_{Z}^{\prime}$ having an odd number of vertices. Hence

$$
h_{u}(Z)+\alpha(Z) \equiv \alpha\left(V^{\prime}\right)
$$

and similarly

$$
h_{u}(W)+\alpha(W) \equiv \alpha\left(V^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
(\bmod 2)
$$

We may write these results as

$$
h_{u}(Z)-\alpha(Z) \equiv \alpha\left(V^{\prime}\right) \equiv h_{u}(W)-\alpha(W) \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

Hence $h_{u}(Z)-\alpha(Z) \geqslant h_{u}(W)-\alpha(W)$ and so the definition of $W$ is contradicted. We deduce that $X(a) \subseteq W$ if $X(a) \cap W \neq 0$.

We have now proved that $W$ is simple. We define $S$ and $T$ in terms of $W$ as before. Using (10) we find that $S$ and $T$ satisfy (6).

This completes the proof of Theorem C.
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