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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
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<td>Total</td>
<td>/ 100</td>
</tr>
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NOTES:

• Closed book, closed notes.
• Calculators are allowed, but no PDAs, Portables, Cell phones, etc.
• Don’t spend too much time on any one problem.
• You have about 90 minutes for the exam (avg. x minutes per problem).
• There are 9 pages in the exam (including this one). Please ensure you have all pages.
• Be sure to show work and explain what you’ve done when asked to do so.
1) **Transactional Memory [8 points]**

Consider a multi-threaded program that operates with T threads on an array A of size N elements. Each thread has to perform a binary filtering operation on each pair of consecutive array elements. Each thread must begin working on elements at index 0 and 1, followed by 1 and 2, and so on, until the end of the array. The threads must have exclusive access to the pair of elements they are filtering (as they may write to either element), but the order in which the threads apply their filters is immaterial to the success of the program.

Consider the following two ways to implement the program:

**Transactional memory**: Each thread does the following:

```python
Begin Tx {
    for i in range(0,N-1):
        filter(A[i], A[i+1]);
} End Tx
```

**Hand-over-hand locking**: Assume each array element A[i] is protected by a dedicated lock L[i]. Each thread does the following:

```python
acquire(L[0]);
for i in range(0,N-1) {
    acquire(L[i+1]);
    filter(A[i], A[i+1]);
    release(L[i]);
}
release(L[N]);
```

Suppose each filter operation takes a constant time C and the latency of the synchronization operations (begin and end transactions in transactional memory and lock acquire and release in hand-over-hand locking) is negligible.

a) Write an algebraic expression (in terms of C, N, and T) for the minimum time the transactional memory program takes to complete. Explain your formula. [4 points]

b) Write and explain an expression for the hand-over-hand locking program. [4 points]
2) **Data Level Parallelism** [14 points]

a) Briefly explain what happens when branch instructions are executed in GPU code. In particular, how is performance impacted? [4 points]

b) Are GPU memory subsystems typically optimized primarily to minimize latency or maximize bandwidth? [4 points]

c) Briefly explain the difference between the Single-instruction multiple-thread (SIMT) programming model of GPUs and the single-instruction multiple-data (SIMD) model used in CPUs, such as the Xeon Phi. [6 points]
3) Ahmdahl’s Law [12 points]

Consider a program where 20% of its execution is serial and the remainder is “embarrassingly parallel” (i.e., its performance scales linearly in the number of cores for an arbitrary number of cores). The performance of the serial portion of the program is directly proportional to storage access latencies.

a) What is the maximum possible speedup that can be achieved for the program? [3 points]

b) For a system with 8 cores, what is the maximum speedup that can be achieved? [3 points]

Recent advances in storage memory technologies can reduce access latencies in half. With the new storage technology:

c) What is the maximum possible speedup that can be achieved for the program? [3 points]

d) For a system with 8 cores, what is the maximum speedup that can be achieved? [3 points]
4) Coherence Protocol Optimizations [20 points]

a) Briefly explain how adding an Owned (O) state to a cache coherence protocol can improve performance. [4 points]

b) The “Migratory Sharing Optimization” discussed in class seeks to optimize cache coherence protocols for the special case of locks and data protected by locks, which tend to be read and then immediately written by one node, then read and written by another node, and so on.

i) What is the optimization and how does it improve performance? [4 points]

ii) How can a protocol detect a “migratory block” to which the optimization should be applied? How can the protocol detect when a block marked migratory should no longer be treated as such? [4 points]

c) State one advantage and one disadvantage of using a distributed linked list to track sharers in a directory-based coherence protocol. [8 points]
5) Synchronization [24 points]

Consider an application that has been parallelized by dividing its work into fine-grain tasks. To balance the work across cores, these tasks are added to a single task queue that is protected by a lock. Whenever a core finishes a task, it acquires the lock, pops the next task off the queue, releases the lock, and then executes the task. (This approach is often called “task-based parallelism”). Except for the lock and task queue, assume there are no shared data structures in the application.

a) Suppose tasks are fairly long, and therefore, it is unlikely for multiple cores to finish tasks at the same time. What kind of lock would you recommend to protect the task queue and why? [4 points]

b) Suppose instead that tasks are extremely short, and the execution time of a task is about the same as a single cache-to-cache transfer. Now, what kind of lock would you recommend and why? [4 points]

c) Consider again the case where tasks are fairly long. Would this system prefer an invalidation-based coherence protocol, such as MSI, or an update-based protocol, such as DEC Firefly? [4 points]

d) Suppose, instead of a lock, the task queue were implemented with a wait-free linked list. In a system with eight cores, can the wait-free linked list be implemented using compare-and-swap (CAS) instructions? [4 points]
e) Consider the following code, which tries to implement a list-based lock.

```c
1:   acquire(lock):
2:     I->next = null;
3:     pred = FetchAndSet(lock,I)
4:     if pred != null
5:         I->must_wait = true
6:     pred->next = I
7:     repeat while I->must_wait
8:   release(lock):
9:     if (I->next == null)
10:    lock = null
11:   return
12:   repeat while I->next == null
13:   I->next->must_wait = false
```

The code above contains an error. Describe the problem and identify the line of code that is wrong. Describe an execution sequence involving two processors that demonstrates the error. Your execution sequence should describe the interleaving of events across the two processors. For example “CPU1 initially holds the lock. CPU2 executes the acquire function up to line 5, then CPU2 executes the release to line 9, then CPU1 proceeds with line 6, …” [8 points]
6) Approximate Cache Filter [22 points]

Consider a cache C, and an “approximate filter” F that is placed in front of C. The approximate filter is a hardware structure that can answer questions of the form "Is a line L in C?" The catch is that the answer may sometimes be wrong (thus the name “approximate filter”). In this system, a cache lookup proceeds as follows:

- When an access to a line L occurs, F is queried whether L is present
- If F indicates that L is not in C, the request is sent to the next level cache (or main memory)
- If F says that L is in C, the cache is accessed for line L (which may still be a miss, since the answer given by F might have been wrong).

In this problem, we will consider the correctness of such a filtering scheme with various types of filters and caches. A system is said to be “correct” if, for any possible sequence of valid accesses, the system returns the most recent version of the data.

a) First consider a filter F that reports no false negatives but may report false positives. That is, if a line L is in C, F will always answer that L is in C. But if a line L is not in C, F may sometimes say that L is in C.

i) Suppose C is a read-only cache. Is the system correct? If not, propose a change to the system to make it correct. [4 points]

ii) Suppose C is read-write cache C. Is the system correct? If not, propose a change to the system to make it correct. [4 points]
b) Next, consider a filter $F$ which can report both false positives and false negatives.

i) Suppose $C$ is a read-only cache. Is the system correct? If not, propose a change to the system to make it correct. [4 points]

ii) Suppose $C$ is a read-write cache $C$. Is the system correct? If not, propose a change to the system to make it correct. [4 points]

c) Under what circumstances could a filtering scheme such as those described above provide a performance advantage? Explain a simple example scenario. [6 points]