Lecture Note #4: Task Scheduling (1) EECS 571 Principles of Real-Time Embedded Systems

Kang G. Shin EECS Department University of Michigan

Reading Assignment

- Liu and Layland's paper
- **Chapter 3 of the text**
- □ HW#2 has already been posted.

Main Question

Will my real-time application really meet its timing constraints/requirements?

- Task Assignment and Scheduling: <u>Given</u> a set of tasks, precedence constraints, resource requirements, their execution times, release times, and deadlines, and a processing system, <u>design</u> a feasible/optimal allocation/scheduling of tasks on the processing system.
- Terminologies: feasibility, optimality, lateness, absolute/relative/effective deadlines, absolute/effective release times.

Components of Task Assigment & Scheduling

□ Precedence relation ≺ (T) = the set of tasks that must be completed before task T can begin its execution

Resource requirements: processor, memory, bus, disk,...

- Exclusive
- Shared (read-only, read-write)

$\hfill\square$ Schedule S: set of processors \times time \rightarrow set of tasks

- off-line or online
- static or dynamic priority alg
- preemptive or nonpreemtive
- uniprocessor or multiprocessor

Terminology

Hard deadline: late result is of little or no value, or may lead to catastrophe

need to guarantee it

Soft deadline: late result may still be useful

probability of missing deadlines

With prob. 0.95 a telephone switch connects in 10 seconds

- How serious is serious?
- Tardiness:

min{ 0, deadline - completion time}

Utility:

function of tardiness



completion time

Terminology: Temporal Parameters

Release time:

♦ fixed (*r*), jitter [$r-\Delta$, $r+\Delta$], sporadic or aperiodic

Execution time:

- Unpredictability due to memory refresh, contention due to DMA, pipelining, cache misses, interrupts, OS overhead
- Execution-path variations

WCET: a "deterministic" parameter for the worst-case execution time

- ✤ a conservative measure
- An assumption to make scheduling and validation feasible
- how can you measure the WCET of a job?

Effective release time and deadline

- Release time of a job can be later than that of its successor
- Deadline of a job can be earlier than that of its predecessor
- Effective release time_i = max {release time_i, effective release times of all its precedessors}
 Effective release time = release time if no predecessor
- Effective deadline_i = min {deadline_i, deadlines of all its successors}

Effective deadline = deadline if no successor

Classical Uniprocessor Scheduling Algorithms

- Rate Monotonic (RM): statically assign higher priorities to tasks with lower periods
- Deadline Monotonic (DM): the smaller relative deadline the higher priority.
- Earliest Deadline First (EDF): the earlier the deadline, the higher the priority; optimal if preemption is allowed and jobs do not contend for resources.
- Minimum-Laxity-First (MLF): the smaller the laxity the higher priority; optimal just like EDF.

Assumptions and Task Models for Classical Sched Algs

□ Assumptions:

- Fully preemtable with negligible costs,
- Independent tasks, i.e., no precedence constraints between tasks
- CPU is the only resource to deal with.

Task Model:

- Characterized by a subset of period/interarrival time, phase, execution time, absolute/effective release time, absolute/relative/effective deadline.
- ✤ Example: Periodic task $T_i = (\phi_i, P_i, e_i, d_i) = (phase, period, execution time, relative deadline)$
- Task vs. job

More on Task model

Periodic task T_i: (examples?)

- constant (or bounded) period, p_i: inter-release time between two consecutive jobs
- ♦ phase ϕ_i , utilization $u_i = e_i / p_i$, deadline (relative) D_i

□ Aperiodic and sporadic: (examples?)

- Sporadic: uncertain interarrival times but with a minimum separation and with a hard deadline
- aperiodic: non-periodic with no minimum separation and usually with a soft or no deadline



Task Functional Parameters

Preemptivity: suspend the executing job and switch to a different job

- should a job (or a portion of job) be preemptable
- context switch: save the current process status (PC, registers, etc.) and initiate a ready job
- Preemptivity of resources: concurrent use of resources or critical section
 - Iock, semaphore, disable interrupts
- □ How can a context switch be triggered?
 - Assume you want to preempt an executing job, why?
 - > a higher priority job arrives
 - Use up the assigned time quantum



Task Scheduling

Schedule: to determine which job is assigned to a processor at any given time

valid schedule: satisfies constraints (release time, WCET, precedence constraints, etc.)

feasible schedule: meet job deadlines

Need an algorithm to generate a schedule

- optimal scheduling algorithm: can always find a feasible schedule if any other alg can
- Scheduler or dispatcher: the mechanism to implement a schedule
- Interaction between schedulers

Commonly-Used Real-Time Scheduling Approaches

- Clock-Driven: determines which job to execute when. All parameters of hard RT jobs are *fixed* and *known*; a schedule is computed *off-line* and stored for use at runtime.
- Weighted Round-Robin: for high-speed networks, where length of a round = sum of all weights.
- Priority-Driven: assigns priorities to jobs and executes jobs in priority order,
 - Static priority assignment: Rate or Deadline Monotonic
 - Dynamic priority assignment: Earliest Deadline First (EDF), Minimum Laxity First (MLF).

Clock-Driven Task Scheduling

Clock-driven

- a schedule determines (off-line) which job to be executed at each instant
- ✤ static or cyclic
- predictable and deterministic
- scheduler: invoked by a timer
- multiple tables for different operation modes



Clock-Driven RT Scheduling, cont'd

- □ Time line is partitioned into *frames*, each with length $f \ge \max_{1 \le i \le n} e_i$ and f must also be a divisor of the planning (major) cycle, F= $\lceil L/f \rceil$.
- Scheduling decisions are made at the beginning of each frame, not within a frame.
- The first job of each task is released at the beginning of some frame.
- **Cyclic executive**: table-driven scheduler.
- Scheduling block L(k): names of job slices scheduled to execute within frame k.

Cyclic Executive

```
Input: stored schedule: L(k) for k=0,1,..., F-1; /*F=# of frames per major cycle*/
    Aperiodic job queue
Task CYCLIC EXECUTIVE:
     current time t=0; current frame k=0;
     do forever
        accept clock interrupt at time tf;
        currentBlock = L(k);
        t := t+1; k:= t mod F;
        if the last job is not completed, take appropriate action;
        if any of the slices in currentBlock is not released, take action;
        wake up the periodic server to execute the slices in current Block;
        sleep until the periodic server completes;/*completes periodic job slices*/
        while the aperiodic job queue is nonempty,
           wake up the job at the head of the aperiodic queue;
           sleep until the aperiodic job completes:
           remove the aperiodic job from the queue:
       endwhile;
       sleep until the next clock interrupt;
   enddo:
end CYCLIC EXECUTIVE
```

Round-Robin Task Scheduling

Weighted Round-robin

- interleave job executions
- allocate a time slice to each job in the FIFO queue
- time slice may vary while sharing the processor
- good for pipelined jobs, e.g., network packets



Priority-Driven Task Scheduling

Priority-driven

- The highest-priority job gets to run until completion or blocked
- A processor is never idle if ready jobs are waiting (work-conserving)
- preemptive or non-preemptive
- priority assignment can be static or dynamic
- Scheduler just looks at the priority queue for waiting jobs (*list schedule*)



Earliest-Deadline-First (EDF) Schedule

Preemptive dynamic priority scheduling

- ✤ a job with earliest (absolute) deadline has the highest priority
- does not require the knowledge of execution time

Optimal if

- single processor, no resource contention, preemptive
- why is this optimal? assume a feasible schedule



Least Slack Time (LST) Schedule

D Preemptive priorityscheduling based on slack time $(d_i - e_i^*)$

- schedule instants: when jobs are released or completed.
- optimal for preemptive single processor schedule



Non-optimality of EDF

Non-preemptive or multiple processors

Scheduling anomaly --- the schedule fails even after we reduce job execution times
D₁
D₂
D₃



(all jobs meet their deadline under EDF after increasing e_1)

Predictable System

- With variant job execution times, do we know when a task is started or completed?
- If the start & completion times and the deadline are known, then we can determine whether a schedule is feasible or not
- **Two extreme conditions:**
 - maximal schedule: all jobs take their maximal execution times
 minimal schedule: all jobs take their minimal execution times
- A job is predictable iff its start and complete times are predictable:
 - $\ \, \diamond \ \, \mathsf{S}^{\text{-}}(J_i) \leq \ \, \mathsf{S}(J_i) \leq \ \, \mathsf{S}^{\text{+}}(J_i)$
 - $f^{-}(J_{i}) \leq f(J_{i}) \leq f^{+}(J_{i})$
- The execution of every job in a set of independent, preemptive jobs with fixed release times is predictable when scheduled in a priority-driven manner on a single processor

On-line vs. Off-line Scheduling

- Off-line scheduling: the schedule is computed off-line and is based on the knowledge of the release times and execution times of all jobs.
 - A system with fixed sets of functions and job characteristics does not vary or vary only slightly.
- On-line scheduling: a scheduler makes each scheduling decision without knowledge about the jobs that will be released in future.
 - there is no optimal on-line schedule if jobs are non-preemptive
 - when a job is released, the system can serve it, or wait for future jobs



Aperiodic Tasks

- □ A periodic server follows the cyclic schedule
- □ A aperiodic server looks at the aperiodic task queue
 - runs at the background

Slack stealing

- slack time: how much each periodic task can be delayed
- Assume all tasks must be completed before the end of their frames and aperiodic tasks are not preemptable
 - ✤ at frame k, e_k is allocated to periodic tasks
 - slack time: $s = f e_k$
 - at the beginning of frame k, find an aperiodic task j with an execution time e_i that is less than s
 - try to run the other aperiodic task with a slack time: s=s e_i

Do slack stealing at the beginning of each frame and then examine the queue when idle

Sporadic Tasks

- Accept if the sporadic task can be done before its deadline
- **I** If more than one sporadic task \Rightarrow EDF
- Assume tasks are preemptable (run across frame boundary)
- When a sporadic task arrives ---- schedule it immediately or at the beginning of the next frame
 - is there enough slack time before its deadline and for every existing sporadic task

Bookkeeping

- ♦ slack time from frame i to I: $\sigma(i,I)$, I=1,2,3,..., F
- for each sporadic task, remaining execution time and slack time
- Let the deadline of an arriving task is in frame I+1
 - is there enough slack time for all tasks with a deadline before frame I+1?
 - for each task with a deadline later than frame I+1, can it be delayed by the new arrival?

Sporadic Tasks -- Example



Δ A table of σ (i,l), i,l=1,2,3,..., F

Assume

- S1(17,4.5) arrives at time 3 --- checks at time 4
- S2(29,4) arrives at time 5
- S3(22,1.5) arrives at time 11
- S4(44,5.0) arrives at time 14

Summary of Cyclic Schedule

Pros

- simple, table-driven, easy to validate (knows what is doing at any moment)
- fits well for harmonic periods and small system variations
- \bullet static schedule \Rightarrow deterministic, static resource allocation, no preemption
- small jitter
- no scheduling anomalies

Cons

- difficult to change (need to re-schedule all tasks)
- fixed released times for the set of tasks
- difficult to deal with different temporal dependencies
- schedule algorithm may get complex (NP-hard)
- doesn't support aperiodic and sporadic tasks efficiently