Demagnetizing factors and stray fields



Demagnetizing Field Due to Apparent Surface Pole Distribution

 $H=H_e+H_D$ $H_D=-NM$ N=demagnetizing factor $\rm H_{\rm D}$ in the opposite direction of $\rm H_{\rm e}$ inside the sample, hence the name

Furthermore

$$U_d = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \int_{allspace} H_d^2 dV$$

stray field of $\rm H_{\rm d}$ increases the total energy

Stray fields and domains

$$U_{d} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \int_{allspace} H_{d}^{2} dV$$



Formation of domains reduces the stray fields outside the sample, hence reduces total energy

Shape anisotropy



Easy axis along the long axis of an ellipse since ${\rm H}_{\rm d}$ is minimal in this direction

Infinite plate

Magnetization in plane. energetically unfavorable for a thin plate to have its magnetization lying perpendicular to its surface.



Fe, FCC lattice <111> is the easy axis

Because of band structure origins of FM, there can be certain crystallographic directions along which it's energetically favorable for **M** to lie.

$$u = K_1(\alpha^2\beta^2 + \beta^2\gamma^2 + \gamma^2\alpha^2) + K_2\alpha^2\beta^2\gamma^2$$

u, energy density due to crystalline anisotropy α, β, γ , angles between M and the axis's

Domain walls

Boundary between two domains is called a *domain wall*.

- Because of the FM exchange interaction, it's very energetically costly for the direction of **M** to change very sharply.
- Result is that local magnetization spreads out the change over some distance = domain wall thickness.



Exchange energy:

$$E_{ex} = -JS_1 \cdot S_2 = -JS^2 \cos \varphi$$

favors "thick" walls (slow changes) to keep ϕ small

Anisotropy energy, favors "thin" walls to reduces spins not parallel with the easy axis

Competition between the two effects. Typical thickness ~ 100 nm, comparable to the size of nanostructures •Domains continuously rearrange themselves to minimize the total energy of the whole system.

•Metastable changes result in hysteresis

•Saturation achieved when all domains are aligned





