

Recall: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x \Leftrightarrow$ For any $\epsilon > 0, \exists N$ such that $|x_n - x| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > N$.

Given: A sequence of random variables $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. Need *not* be iidrv.

DEF: $x_n \rightarrow x$ in probability $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr[|x_n - x| > \epsilon] = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ stochastic convergence.

EX1: If $\{x_n\}$ iidrv, then sample mean $\hat{M}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \rightarrow E[x]$ in prob.

Proof: See Estimators handout. Requires both $E[x]$ and σ_x^2 to be finite.

Note: This is *weak law of large numbers*, since convergence in prob. is *weak*.

EX2: If $\{x_n\}$ iidrv, $f_{x_i} = \frac{1}{A}, 0 < X < A$, then $\max[x_1 \dots x_n] \rightarrow A$ in prob.

Note: Each of these shows *consistency* of an estimator (#1 of prob. set #7).

DEF: $x_n \rightarrow x$ in mean square $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[(x_n - x)^2] = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ L.I.M. $x_n = x$.

EX: If $\{x_n\}$ iidrv, then $\hat{M}_n \rightarrow E[x]$ in mean square=in quadratic mean.

Note: This is *Mean Ergodic Thm.*: L.I.M. $\hat{M}_n = E[x]$. Use \hat{M}_n unbiased:

Proof: $E[(\hat{M}_n - E[x])^2] = E[(\hat{M}_n - E[\hat{M}_n])^2] = \sigma_{\hat{M}_n}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \rightarrow 0$ if $\sigma^2 < \infty$.

DEF: $x_n \rightarrow x$ with prob. one $\Leftrightarrow Pr[\{\omega \in \Omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) = x(\omega)\}] = 1$.

Huh? $Pr[\text{set of sample functions that converge to sample point of } x] = 1$.

Aliases: *Convergence a.s.* (almost surely), *converg. a.e.* (almost everywhere).

How? To show convergence with prob. one, usually use Thm. 3 below.

EX: If $\{x_n\}$ iidrv, then $\hat{M}_n \rightarrow E[x]$ a.s. (*strong law of large numbers*).

Thm. 1: Convergence in mean square \rightarrow convergence in probability.

Proof: Suppose L.I.M. $x_n = x$. Use Markov inequality: As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$Pr[|x_n - x| > \epsilon] = Pr[(x_n - x)^2 > \epsilon^2] \leq \frac{E[(x_n - x)^2]}{\epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0. \text{ QED.}$$

Thm. 2: Convergence with probability one \rightarrow convergence in probability.

Proof: Let $A_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : |x_n(\omega) - x(\omega)| > \epsilon\}$ and $F_n = \cup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i$ (so limsup).

Huh? A_n =set of ω s.t. $x_n(\omega)$ not yet converged within ϵ at time n .

F_n =set of ω s.t. $x_n(\omega)$ not yet converged within ϵ at *any* time $\geq n$.

Note: Convergence in probability $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr[A_n] = 0$ (see above).

Then: $x_n \rightarrow x$ a.e. $\Leftrightarrow 0 = Pr[\{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n(\omega) - x(\omega)| > \epsilon\}] = Pr[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n]$
 $= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr[F_n]$ using *cont. of prob.* since $\{F_n\}$ is decreasing sequence.

But: $(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr[F_n] = 0) \rightarrow (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr[A_n] = 0) \rightarrow$ convergence in prob. QED.

Q: Why $(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr[F_n] = 0) \rightarrow (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr[A_n] = 0)$ but not vice-versa?

A: $A_n \subset F_n \rightarrow Pr[A_n] \leq Pr[F_n] \rightarrow (\lim Pr[F_n] = 0 \rightarrow \lim Pr[A_n] = 0)$.

But: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr[\cup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i] \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr[A_n]$! Why not?

Lemma: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} Pr[A_i] = 0$ if $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Pr[A_i] < \infty$ (doesn't blow up; is finite).

Huh? Remainder term in infinite series goes to zero if the series converges.

Proof: $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} Pr[A_i] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Pr[A_i] - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Pr[A_i]$ if $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Pr[A_i]$ bounded. Now take the limit of this as $n \rightarrow \infty$. QED.

Thm: *Borel-Cantelli Lemma:* $Pr[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i] = 0$ if $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Pr[A_i] < \infty$.

EX: $A_i = A$. Then $Pr[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i] = Pr[A] \neq 0$ since $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Pr[A] \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: $Pr[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr[\cup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} Pr[A_i] = 0$ by Lemma.

Note: Using cont. of prob. and union bound. Use Borel-Cantelli to prove:

Thm. 3: $(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Pr[|x_n - x| > \epsilon] < \infty) \rightarrow (x_n \rightarrow x \text{ with probability one})$.

Proof: Apply Borel-Cantelli lemma with $A_n = \{\omega : |x_n(\omega) - x(\omega)| > \epsilon\}$.

$$\sum Pr[|x_n - x| > \epsilon] < \infty \rightarrow Pr[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cup_{i=n}^{\infty} \{\omega : |x_n - x| > \epsilon\}] = 0.$$

Now reverse the proof of Thm. 2. QED.

Note: This condition is sufficient, but not necessary, for convergence a.e.

Thm. 4: $(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Pr[|x_n - x| > \epsilon] < \infty) \rightarrow (x_n \rightarrow x \text{ in probability})$.

Proof: $(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Pr[A_n] < \infty) \rightarrow (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr[A_n] = 0)$. QED.

Huh? Infinite series converges \rightarrow its general term converges to zero.

$$\sum: \left| \sum Pr[|x_n - x| > \epsilon] < \infty \right| \xrightarrow{\text{Thm.3}} \left| \text{Conv.}_{\text{prob.1}} \right| \xrightarrow{\text{Thm.2}} \left| \text{Conv.}_{\text{inprob.}} \right| \xleftarrow{\text{Thm.1}} \left| \text{L.I.M.} \right|$$

1. **In prob** is weaker than both **mean-square** and **with prob. 1**.
2. **With prob. 1** does *not* imply **mean-square**; nor the converse.
3. To show **with prob. 1**, use Thm. 3 (except for *your* homework!)