Regularized B1+ map estimation in MRI

Amanda Funai and Jeffrey A. Fessler

EECS Dept. The University of Michigan

William Grissom and Douglas C. Noll

BME Dept. The University of Michigan

> ISBI Apr. 13, 2007

Introduction

- RF transmit coils produce non-uniform field strengths
 tip angles vary over the field of view
- Focus: parallel transmit excitation (using a coil array) (Katscher *et al.*, 2003, MRM)
- RF pulse design requires map of B1+ field strength
- At high fields (≥ 3T), use for pre-scan calibration (Cunningham *et al.*, 2006, MRM)



Conventional Measurement Model

Two scans, one with twice the RF amplitude

$$y_{j1} = f_j \sin(\alpha_j) + \varepsilon_{1j}$$

$$y_{j2} = f_j \sin(2\alpha_j) + \varepsilon_{2j}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, n_p$$

- *f_j*: underlying object transverse magnetization of the *j*th voxel (times receive coil sensitivity map)
- *n*_p: number of voxels
- α_j : unknown tip angle of the *j*th voxel
- ε_j : (complex) gaussian noise

Estimating α_j equivalent to estimating B1+ field strength

Standard approach - Double Angle Formula

• Double Angle Formula:

$$\frac{\mathsf{E}[y_{j2}]}{\mathsf{E}[y_{j1}]} = \frac{\sin(2\alpha_j)}{\sin(\alpha_j)} = \frac{2\sin(\alpha_j)\cos(\alpha_j)}{\sin(\alpha_j)} = 2\cos(\alpha_j).$$

• Method-of-moments estimator:

$$\hat{\alpha}_j = \arccos\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{y_{j2}}{y_{j1}}\right)$$

- Possible problems
 - ignores noise
 - performs poorly in areas with low spin density
 - suffers from 2π ambiguities if α_j is too large
 - unstable where α_j too small
 - provides no phase information
 - does not generalize to more tip angles

Improved Signal Model

- transmit separately from K coils and receive from a common coil
- apply a sequence of L tip angles with known RF amplitudes a_l
- $K \times L$ reconstructed images (assumes ideal rect profile):

 $y_{jkl} = f_j e^{i \Phi_{jk}} \sin(a_l x_{jk}) + \varepsilon_{jkl}$

- Variables:
 - f_j : underlying object transverse magnetization (real)
 - φ_{jk} : phase of the *k*th coil
 - x_{jk} : unknown "B1+ map"
 - ε_{jkl} : zero-mean complex gaussian noise
 - j : voxel index, k : coil index, l : tip sequence index

Goal: estimate each B1+ map x and each B1+ phase map ϕ from the images y_{jkl} .

The unknown object magnetization f_j is a nuisance parameter.

Signal Model Comments

- Units of x_{jk} are arbitrary *i.e.* a_l in gauss $\implies x_{jk}$ radian/gauss
- f_j real \implies model is identifiable, ambiguity only in sign of f_j
- Similar model considered in (Kerr *et al.*, 2006, MRM)
 *a*_l restricted to powers of two
 cost function:

$$\sum_{l} \left(|y_{jkl}| - |f_j| \sin(|a_l x_{jk}|) \right)^2.$$

not a complex gaussian statistical model

- general purpose minimization model from Matlab
- \circ used value of tip index at each voxel where tip closest to $\pi/2$

• Our model allows for arbitrary a_l and uses all data at each voxel.

Regularized Iterative Estimator

- Goal : estimate x, ϕ , and f by minimizing Ψ
- Cost function:

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{f}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\text{p}}} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{1}{2} |y_{jkl} - f_j e^{i \boldsymbol{\phi}_{jk}} \sin(a_l x_{jk})|^2 + \beta_1 \operatorname{R}(\boldsymbol{x}_k) + \beta_2 \operatorname{R}(\boldsymbol{\phi}_k)$$

• Maps are smooth

 \implies regularize $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_K)$ and $\mathbf{\phi} = (\mathbf{\phi}_1, \dots, \mathbf{\phi}_K)$

- R(x_k) and R(\$\overline\$k\$) quadratic regularizing roughness penalty functions
- β_1 and β_2 regularized parameters
- No analytical solution over all 3 sets of parameters so we use block alternating minimization

Optimization Transfer - Object

• f_j has analytic solution (given ϕ and x) :

$$f_{j}^{(n+1)} = \operatorname{real}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{L} e^{-\iota \varphi_{jk}^{(n)}} \sin\left(a_{l} x_{jk}^{(n)}\right) y_{jkl}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left|\sin^{2}\left(a_{l} x_{jk}^{(n)}\right)\right|}\right).$$

Optimization Transfer - B1+ Magnitude Map

 x (given \$\overline\$ and \$f\$) upper bound for the curvature given by:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Psi \le |f_j|^2 a_l^2$$

• Using Separable quadratic surrogates

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{(n+1)} = \boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{(n)} - \operatorname{diag}\left\{\frac{1}{b_{j}}\right\} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{k}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{f}^{(n+1)}\right),$$

where

$$b_j \triangleq \sum_{l=1}^L a_l^2 \left| f_j^{(n+1)} \right|^2 + r\beta_1.$$

• r depends on choice of regularizer For second order differences with 8 nearest neighbors, $r = 4 \cdot 4 \cdot (2 + 2/\sqrt{2})$

Optimization Transfer - B1+ Phase Map

 • (given f and x) upper bound for the curvature given by:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \Psi \le |y_{jkl} f_j \sin(a_l x_{jk})|$$

• Using Separable quadratic surrogates,

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}^{(n+1)} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}^{(n)} - \text{diag}\left\{\frac{1}{d_{j}}\right\} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n+1)}, \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{f}^{(n+1)}\right),$$

where

$$d_j \triangleq \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left| y_{jkl} f_j^{(n+1)} \sin\left(a_l x_{jk}^{(n+1)}\right) \right| + r\beta_2.$$

 B1 map and phase map updates can be parallelized because no coupling between coil terms in cost function

Initialization

- \bullet Algorithm is non-convex may descend to local minimum \Longrightarrow Good initial estimates crucial
- $\boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{(0)}$ use standard double angle method
- $f^{(0)}$ use analytic formula

• $\varphi_{jk}^{(0)}$ -Because we can write the cost function as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{1}{2} |y_{jkl} - f_j e^{i\varphi_{jk}} \sin(a_l x_{jk})|^2$$
$$\equiv -\sum_{k=1}^{K} \operatorname{real} \left(\left[f_j \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sin(a_l x_{jk}) y_{jkl} \right] e^{-i\varphi_{jk}} \right).$$

it suggests:

$$\varphi_{jk}^{(0)} = \angle \left(f_j \sum_{l=1}^L \sin(a_l x_{jk}) y_{jkl} \right)$$

Simulations

- Parameters:
 - \circ K = 4 coils
 - \circ L = 3 different tip angles a_l = [10 20 30]
 - 100 iterations
 - Computation time 17 sec total (in MATLAB)
 - \circ SNR of about 21 dB as calculated by $10\log_{10}(\|\mathbf{y}\|/\|\mathbf{y}-\mathsf{E}[\mathbf{y}]\|)$

True maps



Noisy Data



Initializations



Final Estimate



Simulation conclusions

- Masked NRMSE (calculated where $f_j > .1 * \max(f_j)$)
 - B1+ magnitude map (double angle formula) 72%
 - B1+ magnitude map (regularized iterative) 12%
 - B1+ phase map (initial) 52%
 - B1+ phase map (regularized iterative) 3%
 - Object (initial) 15%
 - Object (iterative) 3%
- Reduces the NRMSE by over a factor of 5 compared to the double angle formula!

MRI dataset

- Phantom with coils positioned to create a B1+ map with a large magnitude difference
- One coil used for transmit
- $\bullet \, \mathrm{TR} pprox 8\, \mathrm{sec}$
- 18 different nominal tip angles from 10° to 180°
- Estimated with all data and with just $30^\circ, 60^\circ$, and 90°



MRI estimates



Using all tips as truth, $\begin{vmatrix} B_1^+ \end{vmatrix}$ NRMSE (conventional) - 19.5% (masked) $\begin{vmatrix} B_1^+ \end{vmatrix}$ NRMSE (30°, 60°, 90°) - 14.0% (masked) B_1^+ phase NRMSE under 5% (masked) for both estimates

Conclusion

- Large improvement (RMSE and smoothness) over double angle formula
- Also estimates the phase for each coil
- Areas for future research
 - Investigate in conjuction with slice selection effects
 - Further explore the spatial resolution/CRB
 - Modify model to include T1 effects
 - Modify model to jointly estimate mosfet nonlinearity
 - Use for parallel excitation RF pulse design