

CRUMBLY SPACES

YURI GUREVICH

Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva, Israel, and Simon Fraser University, Canada

1. Introduction

HENSON, JOCKUSCH, JR., RUBEL, TAKEUTI (1977) define the first-order theory of a top. space U as the first-order theory of the lattice of closed subsets of U . We define and study crumbly spaces in order to answer the following question of HENSON *et al.* (1977).

Q3. *Are any two 0-dimensional separable metric spaces without isolated points elementary equivalent? In particular, are the rationals, the irrationals and the Cantor set elementary equivalent as top. spaces?*

The answer is yes. All crumbly spaces without isolated points have the same first-order theory, and any 0-dimensional separable metric space is crumbly. The first-order theory of crumbly spaces is decidable. The method used is that of GUREVICH (1979), essentially Shelah's.

Speaking about a space or a top. space, we always mean a non-empty T_1 space. A space and its universe are denoted in the same way. The derivative (the set of limit points) of a point set X is denoted by X' . As usual, X is a deleted neighbourhood of a point y if $y \notin X$ and $X \cup \{y\}$ is a nbd (neighbourhood) of y .

Considering a chain (linearly ordered set) as a top. (= topological) space, we always have in mind the interval topology.

"W.l.o.g." and "nwd" abbreviate "without loss of generality" and "nowhere dense", respectively.

2. Congruences

Let U be a top. space and let E be an equivalence relation on U with closed fibers (= equivalence classes). The fibers of E form the quotient space U/E . By definition a set A of fibers is open in U/E if $\bigcup A$ is open in U .

E will be called a *congruence* if

(Co1) All non-singleton fibers of E are open, and

(Co2) The quotient mapping $x \rightarrow x/E$ is closed.

The quotient mapping is open if (Co1) holds. However, (Co1) does not imply (Co2). Consider a chain

$$\dots -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega$$

and the equivalence relation with fibers $\{0\}, \{-1, +1\}, \{-2, +2\}, \dots, \{\omega\}$.

For $X \subseteq U$ let $X_E = \bigcup \{x/E : x \in X\}$.

CLAIM 1. In presence of (Co1) condition (Co2) is equivalent to

(Co3) If $X \subseteq U$ and $y \in (X_E)' - X_E$, then each nbd of y includes some x/E with $x \in X$.

PROOF: (Co2) means that X_E is closed for any closed X . For a closed X (Co3) implies $(X_E)' \subseteq X_E \cup X' = X_E$. If X, y and a nbd H of y give a counterexample for (Co3) select a point fx from each $(x/E) - H$ with $x \in X$. Let F be the closure of $\{fx : x \in X\}$. Then $X_E \subseteq F_E$ but $y \notin F_E$; hence F_E is not closed and (Co2) fails.

CLAIM 2. Suppose that E is a congruence on U . The reduction of E on an arbitrary subspace V of U is a congruence on V .

PROOF: Check (Co1) and (Co3).

CLAIM 3. Let U be a chain.

(i) If all non-singleton fibers of E are clopen and convex, then E is a congruence.

(ii) Suppose that E is a congruence on U . Define xey if xEy and xEz for every z between x and y . Then e is a congruence on U .

PROOF: (i) Check condition (Co3). (ii) Use statement (i).

Given a top. space I and a disjoint family $\{V_i : i \in I\}$ of top. spaces such that V_i is singleton for $i \in I'$, we define $V = \sum \{V_i : i \in I\}$ as follows. $x \in V$ if x belongs to some V_i and an arbitrary $X \subseteq V$ is closed in V if $V_i \cap X$ is closed in V_i , for each i and $\{i : V_i \text{ meets } X\}$ is closed in I (so that X is open in V iff $V_i \cap X$ is open in V_i for each i and $\{i : V_i \subseteq X\}$ is open in I). Check that V is really a top. space, every V_i is a closed subspace of V and the relation " x, y are in the same V_i " is a congruence on V . We say that V is the *discrete sum* of spaces V_i if I is discrete.

CLAIM 4. Suppose that E is a congruence on U . Form $I \subseteq U$ by selecting a point from each fiber of E . Then I is closed, $U = \sum \{i/E: i \in I\}$ and the mapping $i/E \rightarrow i$ is a homeomorphism of U/E onto I .

PROOF: If $x \in I'$, then x/E cannot be open; hence x/E is singleton and $x \in I$.

Given $X \subseteq U$ with $(i/E) \cap X$ closed for each i , we check that X is closed iff $J = \{i: i/E \text{ meets } X\}$ is closed. If X is closed and $y \in J'$, then $y \in (X_E)' \subseteq X_E$ and y/E is singleton; hence $y \in X$. If J is closed and $y \in X'$, then $y \in J_E' \subseteq J_E$ and either $y \in ((y/E) \cap X)' \subseteq X$ or $y/E = \{y\} \subseteq X$.

If A is a closed set in U/E , then $\cup A$ is closed in U and $(\cup A) \cap I$ is closed in I . If J is closed in I , it is closed in U ; hence $\{i/E: i \in J\}$ is closed in U/E .

3. Crumbly spaces

Given a family A of open sets in a top. space U and a congruence E on U , we say that E crumbles A if E is the identity on $U - \cup A$ and for each $x \in \cup A$ the fiber x/E is an open subset of some $a \in A$. If E crumbles $A = \{G\}$, we say that E crumbles G .

DEFINITION. A space U is *crumbly* if

(Cr1) For each family A of open sets in U there is a congruence on U crumbing A , and

(Cr2) Each discrete $X \subseteq U$ can be split into Y and $X - Y$ such that $Y' = (X - Y)'$.

(Cr1) does not imply (Cr2): consider $U = \omega \cup \{F\}$ where F is a non-principal ultrafilter on ω and $X \subseteq U$ is open iff $X \subseteq \omega$ or $X - \{F\} \in F$.

CLAIM 1. Let U be a crumbly space.

(i) Any subspace of U is crumbly.

(ii) For any congruence E the quotient space U/E is crumbly.

PROOF: (i) is straightforward. (ii) follows from (i) and Claim 4 in § 2.

LEMMA 2. Let f be a continuous mapping from a space U onto a space I and $U_i = f^{-1}(i)$ for $i \in I$. Suppose that if $y \in U_i \cap (U - U_i)'$ and H is a nbd of y , then there is a deleted nbd J of i in I such that $\cup \{U_j: j \in J\} \subseteq H$. If I and all subspaces U_i satisfy (Cr2), then U satisfies (Cr2).

PROOF: Given a discrete $X \subseteq U$ form $K = \{i: U_i \cap X \text{ is singleton}\}$ and split it into K_1, K_2 such that $K_1' = K_2'$. Split each $U_i \cap X$ into X_{1i}, X_{2i} such that $X'_{1i} = X'_{2i}$, and both X_{ai} are not empty if $|U_i \cap X| \geq 2$, and X_{ai} is not empty if $i \in K_a$. Form $X_a = \cup \{X_{ai}: i \in I\}$.

Given $y \in U_i \cap X'$ and a nbd H of y , we show that H meets X_* . That is clear if $y \in (U_i \cap X)'$. Suppose $y \in (X - U_i)'$. W.l.o.g. $H - U_i = \bigcup \{U_j; j \in J\}$ for some deleted nbd J of i . If $|U_j \cap X| \geq 2$ for some $j \in J$, then H meets X_* . Otherwise J meets K ; hence J meets K_* , hence H meets X_* . \square

THEOREM 3. $U = \sum \{U_i; i \in I\}$ is crumbly if I and all U_i are crumbly spaces.

PROOF: In virtue of Lemma 2 it suffices to check (Cr1). Given a family A of open sets in U , form the family B of open sets $\{i: U_i \subseteq a\}$ in I where $a \in A$ and crumble B by a congruence e on I . If $i \in I - \bigcup B$, crumble $A|U_i$ by a congruence E_i on U_i . For $x \in U_i$ and $y \in U_j$ define xEy if iej or $i = j$ and xE_iy . E is an equivalence relation on U . It crumbles A if it is a congruence. In the remaining part of the proof we show that E satisfies conditions (Co1) and (Co3).

If F is a fiber of E , then either $F = \bigcup \{U_i; i \in J\}$ where J is an open fiber of e or else F is a fiber of some E_i . In the first case F is open in U . In the second case, if F is not singleton, then it is open in U_i and U_i is open in U , hence F is open in U .

Let $X \subseteq U$, $y \in (X_E)' - X_E$, $y \in U_i$ and let H be a nbd of y . W.l.o.g. $i \in I'$ and there is a nbd J of i with $\bigcup \{U_j; j \in J\} \subseteq H$. If $x/E \subseteq U_i$ for some $x \in X$ and $j \in J$, then $x/E \subseteq H$. Otherwise, by (Co3) applied to e , J includes a fiber K of e with $\bigcup \{U_j; j \in K\} = x/E$ for some $x \in X$ which implies $x/E \subseteq H$.

CLAIM 4. Any crumbly space without isolated points has a perfect nwd set.

PROOF: Build a sequence E_0, E_1, \dots of congruences and a sequence X_0, X_1, \dots of point sets in such a way that X_n comprises a point from each non-singleton fiber of E_n , and E_{n+1} refines E_n and crumbles the complement of X_n , and all points are E_0 -equivalent.

For each $n > 0$ choose $Y_n \subset X_n$ such that $Y_n' = (X_n - Y_n)'$. The difference between $\bigcup \{X_n; n \geq 0\}$ and $\bigcup \{(Y_n)_{E_n}; n > 0\}$ is perfect and nwd.

4. Crumbly chains

Let U be a chain.

CLAIM 1. U satisfies (Cr2).

PROOF: Given a discrete $X \subseteq U$ define xey if $x, y \in X$ and there is no points of X' between x, y . Let X_1 be the union of non-singleton fibers of e . Splitting the non-singleton fibers one gets $Y_1 \subseteq X_1$ with $Y_1' = (X_1 - Y_1)'$. It remains to split $X - X_1$. W.l.o.g. $X_1 = 0$.

Let κ be an infinite cardinal. An interval I of the subchain X will be called κ -good if $\kappa = |I| = |(x, y)|$ for any $x < y$ in I . Any non-empty non-singleton interval of the subchain X has a subinterval κ -good for some κ . If I is κ -good arrange a list $\langle I_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ of all subintervals (x, y) with $x < y$ in I and build disjoint $Y, Z \subseteq I$ meeting each I_α , then $Y' = (I - Y)'$. Now consider the equivalence relation xEy if $x, y \in X$ and either $x = y$ or there is a subset Y of $I = \{z \in X: z \text{ between } x, y\}$ such that $Y' = (I - Y)'$. \square

U is 0-dimensional iff no interval of U with at least two points is connected iff between any two different points of U there is a jump or an empty Dedekind cut. (A jump between $x < y$ means a pair $u < v$ such that $x \leq u < v \leq y$ and the interval (u, v) is empty.) Suppose that U is 0-dimensional.

THEOREM 2. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) U is crumbly,
- (2) Each non-empty open interval of U without a minimum (respectively maximum) point can be partitioned into a chain of open subintervals without a first (respectively last) member.
- (3) There are no κ, S and $f: S \rightarrow U$ such that κ is a regular uncountable cardinal, S is a stationary subset of κ , f is a continuous mapping from the subspace S of the chain κ into U preserving or reversing the order.

PROOF: (1) \rightarrow (2). Given a non-empty open interval I without a maximum point crumble the family of open initial proper subintervals of I and use Claim 3 in § 2.

(2) \rightarrow (3). Let κ, S and $f: S \rightarrow U$ give a counterexample for (3) where f preserves the order. Partition the least initial interval of U including fS into a chain J of open subintervals without a last member. The set $C = \{\alpha: \text{there is an initial proper subinterval } K \text{ of } J \text{ such that for each } \beta \in S, f\beta \in \cup K \text{ iff } \beta < \alpha\}$ is closed and unbounded in κ . Consider $\alpha \in C \cap S$ to get a contradiction.

(3) \rightarrow (2). Let I be a non-empty open interval of U without a maximum point and κ be the cofinality of I . Choose an ascending sequence $\langle I_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ of initial subintervals of I covering I and such that no I_α has a supremum in $I - I_\alpha$. If $\kappa = \omega$ partition I in the obvious way. If $\kappa > \omega$ consider the function $f\alpha = \sup \cup \{I_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$. By (3) the domain S of f is not stationary. Use a closed unbounded $C \subseteq \kappa - S$ to partition I .

(2) \rightarrow (1). By Claim 1 it suffices to check (Cr1). Let A be a family of open sets in U . A clopen interval I will be called a *crumb* if there is $a \in A$ including I . A set $X \subseteq U$ will be called *good* if there is a disjoint family B of crumbs

such that $\bigcup B$ is convex and includes X . It suffices to prove that each maximal interval in $\bigcup A$ is good. W.l.o.g. $\bigcup A = U$.

Define xEy if $\{x, y\}$ is good. If $x < y < z$, xEy and yEz , take disjoint families B, C of crumbs such that $\bigcup B, \bigcup C$ are convex and include $\{x, y\}, \{y, z\}$, respectively. If $y \in b \in B$ and $y \in c \in C$ then $\{x, z\}$ is covered by $b \cap c$, the part of b below c , the part of c above b , the crumbs of B below b and the crumbs of C above c . Hence E is an equivalence relation.

Any fiber of E is an open interval. It suffices to prove that each fiber of E is good. Now use (2).

COROLLARY 3. *Any separable 0-dimensional metric space is crumbly.*

PROOF: By classical theorems any separable metric space is second-countable, and any 0-dimensional second-countable metric space is embeddable into the Cantor set. The Cantor set is crumbly by Theorem 2. Now use Claim 1 of § 3.

5. The adjusted theory

A point set X is *sandy* if each point of X is isolated in the space.

CLAIM 1. *Let U be a crumbly space with nwd U' . Each closed $X \subseteq U'$ is the derivative of some sandy set.*

PROOF: Crumble $U - X$ by a congruence and pick an isolated point in each open fiber.

CLAIM 2. *Let U be a crumbly space with nwd U' . For each first-order sentence φ in the language $\{\leq\}$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The collection of closed subsets of U' ordered by inclusion satisfies φ .*
- (2) *The collection of sandy subsets of U with $X \leq Y$ meaning $X' \subseteq Y'$ satisfies φ .*

PROOF: Identification of indistinguishable members in (2) gives a model isomorphic to the model of (1).

THEOREM 3. *For each crumbly space I there is a crumbly superspace U such that $I = U'$ and I is nwd in U .*

PROOF: The idea is to sew on tails to enough points of I . Choose an everywhere dense $I_0 \subseteq I$ and a disjoint family $\{U_i: i \in I\}$ of crumbly spaces such that $U_i = \{i\}$ if $i \in I - I_0$ and $U_i' = \{i\}$ if $i \in I_0$. Define a space U as

follows: $x \in U$ if x belongs to some U_i , and an arbitrary $X \subseteq U$ is closed in U if $U_i \cap X$ is closed in U_i for each i and X includes the derivative in I of $\{i: U_i \text{ meets } X\}$ (so that X is open in U iff $U_i \cap X$ is open in U_i for each i and $\{i: U_i \subseteq X\}$ includes a deleted nbd in I of any $j \in I \cap X$). Check that U is really a top. space, all spaces U_i are closed subspaces of U , $I = U'$, I is nwd in U , and associating i with each point in U_i gives a continuous mapping from U onto I .

We prove that U is crumbly. In virtue of Lemma 2 in § 3 it suffices to check (Cr1) only. Given a family A of open sets in U crumble $A|I$ by a congruence e on I . If $J \subseteq (\cup A) \cap I$ is a fiber of e , choose $gJ \in A$ with $J \subseteq gJ$ and form $hJ = gJ \cap \{U_i: i \in J\}$. The sets hJ are clopen and disjoint. Consider the equivalence relation E on U whose only non-singleton fibers are the sets hJ . Clearly, E crumbles A if it is a congruence. Clearly, E satisfies (Co1). It remains to check (Co3). Let $X \subseteq U$, $y \in (X_E)' - X_E$ and H be a nbd of y in U . Then $y \in I$ and there is a deleted nbd K of y in I such that $\cup \{U_i: i \in K\} \subseteq H$. If H does not include any singleton x/E with $x \in X$, then, by (Co3) applied to e , K includes some J with $hJ = x/E$ for some $x \in X$ which implies $x/E \subseteq H$.

DEFINITION. The *adjusted first-order theory* of a crumbly space U with a nwd derivative is the first-order theory of the Boolean algebra of sandy subsets of U with an additional relation $X \leq Y$ meaning $X' \subseteq Y'$. T is the adjusted first-order theory of all crumbly spaces with nwd derivatives.

COROLLARY 4. *The first-order theory of crumbly spaces is interpretable in T . All crumbly spaces without isolated points have the same first-order theory if all crumbly spaces U such that U' is nwd and $0 \neq U' = U''$ have the same adjusted first-order theory.*

CLAIM 5. *Every two crumbly spaces U, V with singleton derivative have the same adjusted first-order theory.*

PROOF: We describe a winning strategy for us (the player II) against the devil (the player I) in the Ehrenfeucht game $G_n(U, V)$.

If X_1, \dots, X_m and Y_1, \dots, Y_m were chosen during the first m moves consider all intersections $\pm X_1 \cap \dots \cap \pm X_m$ and $\pm Y_1 \cap \dots \cap \pm Y_m$ where $+Z = Z$ and $-Z$ is the complement of Z in the respective Boolean algebra of sandy sets. It gives A_1, \dots, A_l in U and B_1, \dots, B_l in V , respectively, where $l = 2^m$. Our strategy is to satisfy the following requests: $A'_k = 0$ iff $B'_k = 0$, and either both $|A_k|, |B_k|$ are $\geq 2^{n-k}$ or $|A_k| = |B_k|$.

6. The theory of sum

In order to analyze theory T we use the method of GUREVICH (1979).

CLAIM 1. *The universal fragment of T is decidable.*

PROOF: It suffices to prove satisfiability in T of any quantifier-free formula $\varphi \wedge \psi$ where φ states that v_1, \dots, v_l partition 1 and ψ describes a quasi-order on $0, v_1, \dots, v_l$ with $0 \leq v_k$ for each k . Consider the discrete sum $U_1 + \dots + U_l$ where U_k is a copy of ω if $\psi \vdash v_k \leq 0$ and of $\omega + 1$ if $\psi \vdash 0 < v_k$. Choose disjoint infinite sandy U_{k1}, \dots, U_{kl} in each U_k and interpret each v_k as $\bigcup \{U_{jk} : \psi \vdash v_j \leq v_k\}$. \square

A crumbly space U with nwd U' augmented by a sequence $P = \langle P_1, \dots, P_l \rangle$ of sandy subsets of U will be called an *ausp* of weight l . If V is a clopen subspace of U , then $\langle V, P|V \rangle$ will be called a *subausp* of $\langle U, P \rangle$.

The 0 -theory $\text{Th}^0(U, P)$ of an *ausp* $\langle U, P \rangle$ of weight l is a quantifier-free description of that *ausp* in variables v_1, \dots, v_l (see a more rigorous definition in GUREVICH, 1979). Let $\xi = \langle \xi_n : n < \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of natural numbers with a tail of zeros. The n - ξ -theory $\text{Th}_\xi^n(U, P)$ of an *ausp* $\langle U, P \rangle$ is defined by inductions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Th}_\xi^0(U, P) &= \text{Th}^0(U, P), \\ \text{Th}_\xi^{n+1}(U, P) &= \{ \text{Th}_\xi^n(U, P \hat{\ } Q) : lh(Q) = \xi_n \}. \end{aligned}$$

The true value of $U \vDash \varphi(P)$ with elementary φ is computable from an appropriate $\text{Th}_\xi^n(U, P)$ (with n, ξ computable from φ).

Sets $\text{Tr}_\xi^l(l)$ and l -traces are defined by induction. $\text{Tr}^0(l) = \text{Tr}_\xi^0(l) = \{ \text{Th}^0(M) : M \text{ is an } l\text{-ausp of weight } l \}$. $s \in \text{Tr}^0(l)$ is an l -trace of $t \in \text{Tr}^0(l+m)$ if there are U, P, Q such that $s = \text{Th}^0(U, P)$ and $t = \text{Th}^0(U, P \hat{\ } Q)$. $\text{Tr}_\xi^{n+1}(l) = \{ t \subseteq \text{Tr}_\xi^n(l + \xi_n) : \text{all members of } t \text{ have the same } l\text{-trace} \}$. $s \in \text{Tr}^0(l)$ is an l -trace of $t \in \text{Tr}_\xi^{n+1}(l+m)$ if s is the l -trace of each member of t . Check that $\text{Tr}_\xi^n(l)$ is recursive in n, ξ, l and contains the n - ξ -theory of any *ausp* of weight l .

Let $\tilde{U} = \langle U, P \rangle$ be an *ausp* of weight l , let E be a congruence on U, X range over open fibers of E and let \tilde{X} be the subausp $\langle X, P|X \rangle$. We introduce some more notation. For each $t \in \text{Tr}_\xi^n(l)$ the set $\{ X : \text{Th}_\xi^n(\tilde{X}) = t \}$ will be denoted by $(\tilde{U}/E)_\xi^n t$. The sequence $\langle (\tilde{U}/E)_\xi^n t : t \in \text{Tr}_\xi^n(l) \rangle$ (we consider $\text{Tr}_\xi^n(l)$ being linearly ordered in a standard way) will be denoted by $(\tilde{U}/E)_\xi^n$. Finally, $[\tilde{U}/E]_\xi^n$ is the *ausp* $\langle U/E, (\tilde{U}/E)_\xi^n \rangle$. ξ may be omitted everywhere if $n = 0$.

CLAIM 2. $\text{Th}^0(\tilde{U})$ is computable from $\text{Th}^0[\tilde{U}/E]^0$.

PROOF: If τ is a Boolean term in variables v_1, \dots, v_l , then $\tau(P) \cap X = \tau(P|X)$ (check by induction on τ). Given $\text{Th}^0[\tilde{U}/E]^0$, we compute whether $\tau(P) = 0$ and whether $\tau_1(P) \leq \tau_2(P)$.

$\tau(P) = 0$ iff $\tau(P|X) = 0$ for each X iff $(\tilde{U}/E)^0 t \neq 0$ only for t implying $\tau = 0$.

$\tau_1(P) \leq \tau_2(P)$ iff $\tau_1(P|X) \leq \tau_2(P|X)$ for each X and $\{X: \tau_1(P|X) \neq 0\}' \subseteq \{X: \tau_2(P|X) \neq 0\}'$ in U/E iff $(\tilde{U}/E)^0 t \neq 0$ only for t implying $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ and

$$\bigcup \{(\tilde{U}/E)^0 t: t \vdash \tau_1 \neq 0\} \subseteq \bigcup \{(\tilde{U}/E)^0 t: t \vdash \tau_2 \neq 0\}.$$

η denotes below a sequence $\langle \eta_n: n < \omega \rangle$ of natural numbers with a tail of zeros.

THEOREM 3. There is a recursive function $\eta = T(n, \xi, l)$ such that $\text{Th}_\xi^n(\tilde{U})$ is computable from n, ξ, l and $\text{Th}_\eta^n[\tilde{U}/E]_\xi^n$.

PROOF: See Theorem 2.2 in GUREWICH (1979) and Claim 2.

If V is the discrete sum of spaces V_j , then $\text{Th}_\xi^n(V, Q)$ will be called the *discrete sum* of $\text{Th}_\xi^n(V_j, Q|V_j)$. That defines the commutative semigroup of $n-\xi$ -theories of ausps of weight l . By Theorem 3 the sum $s+t$ of elements of that semigroup is computable from n, ξ, l, s, t .

If I is a crumbly space with nwd I' , $U = \sum \{U_i: i \in I\}$, P is a sequence of l sandy subsets of U and $\text{Th}_\xi^n(U_i, P|U_i) = s$ for each isolated $i \in I$ we write $\text{Th}_\xi^n(U, P) = s \cdot I$. (In particular, $s2 = s+s$.) By Theorem 3, $s \cdot I$ is computable from n, ξ, l, s and the $n-T(n, \xi, l)$ -theory of I .

CLAIM 4. Let S be the semigroup of $n-\xi$ -theories of ausps of weight l .

- (i) There is an integer m such that $tm = t\omega = t \cdot I$ for every $t \in S$ and every infinite discrete space I .
- (ii) The semigroup generated by a non-empty subset S_0 of S is the closure of S_0 under discrete sums.
- (iii) $t(\omega+1) = t \cdot I$ for any crumbly space I with singleton derivative.

PROOF: (i) is clear. (ii) follows from (i). To prove (iii) use Claim 5 in § 5.

7. Uniformity

Two ausps are *0-equivalent* ($n-\xi$ -equivalent) if they have the same 0-theory ($n-\xi$ -theory). An ausp $\tilde{U} = \langle U, P \rangle$ is 0-uniform if $0 \neq U' = U''$, and either P is the empty sequence or P partitions 1, and $U' = P'_i$ for each non-empty member P_i of P . Check that all non-sandy subausps of a 0-uni-

form ausp are 0-equivalent. \tilde{U} is $n-\xi$ -uniform if all non-sandy subausps of \tilde{U} are $n-\xi$ -equivalent. Below $\tilde{U} = \langle U, P \rangle$ is an ausp. If $X \subseteq U$ is non-empty and clopen, then $\tilde{X} = \langle X, P|X \rangle$.

CLAIM 1. Suppose that $0 \neq U' = U''$ and either P is the empty sequence or P partitions 1. For every n, ξ there is an $n-\xi$ -uniform subausp of \tilde{U} .

PROOF: Let M, N range over non-sandy subausps of \tilde{U} and $S(M) = \{\text{Th}_\xi^n(N) : N \subseteq M\}$. Choose a minimal $S = S(M_0)$ and form $t = \sum \{s\omega : s \in S\}$. Check that $s_1 + s_2 \in S$ if $s_1, s_2 \in S$. In virtue of Claim 4 in § 6 that implies $t \in S$. For any $s \in S$ there is $s' \in S$ such that $s = s' + t = t$. Hence M_0 is $n-\xi$ -uniform.

A congruence E on a 0-uniform ausp \tilde{U} will be called *normal* if every sandy fiber of E is singleton and for each non-empty member P_i of P the derivative of $\{x \in P_i : x/E \text{ is singleton}\}$ is equal to $\cup (U/E)'$.

CLAIM 2. For each family A of open sets in a 0-uniform ausp \tilde{U} there is a normal congruence on \tilde{U} crumbling A .

PROOF: Crumble A by an arbitrary congruence E , split every sandy non-singleton fiber of E into new singleton fibers, and for each fiber F of E and each non-empty P_i pinch out a new singleton fiber from $F \cap P_i$.

We say that $t \in \text{Tr}_\xi^n(l)$ is *sandy* if the 0-trace of t implies $l \leq 0$. The recursive function $\eta = T(n, \xi, l)$ from Theorem 3 in § 6 is used below.

LEMMA 3. Let K be a class of ausps of weight 1 closed under subausps. A set $S \subseteq \text{Tr}_\xi^n(l)$ includes $\text{Th}_\xi^n(K) = \{\text{Th}_\xi^n(M) : M \in K\}$ if

- (0) S contains the $n-\xi$ -theory of any singleton member of K ,
- (1) S is closed under discrete sums,
- (2) $t(\omega+1) \in S$ if $t \in S$, and
- (3) $\text{Th}_\xi^n(\tilde{U}) \in S$ if $\tilde{U} \in K$ and there is a normal congruence E on \tilde{U} s.t. $\text{Th}_\xi^n(\tilde{X}) \in S$ for any open fiber of E and $[\tilde{U}/E]_\xi^n$ is $n-T(n, \xi, l)$ -uniform.

If $U' = U''$ for every $\tilde{U} \in K$, then (2) can be replaced by a weaker condition.

- (2') $t(\omega+1) \in S$ if $t \in S$ and t is not sandy.

PROOF: Let $\tilde{U} \in K$, and X, Y range over clopen subspaces of U , and $\text{th}(X) = \text{Th}_\xi^n(\tilde{X})$. Call X *good* if $\text{th}(Y) \in S$ for each $Y \subseteq X$. By contradiction suppose that U is not good.

By Claim 2 the family of good sets can be crumbled by a normal congruence E . Let $I = U/E$. Use (0) to check that each open $i \in I$ is good. If I is discrete then each X is the discrete sum of non-empty $i \cap X$; hence, by (1), S contains each $\text{th}(X)$, hence U is good. Therefore $I' \neq 0$.

If $i \in I' - I''$, choose a clopen nbd J of i whose derivative is singleton. Given $X \subseteq \cup J$, use (1) and (2) to check that $\text{th}(X) \in S$. Hence $\cup J$ is good. But then i should be isolated in I . Therefore $I' = I''$.

By Claim 1 there is a clopen $J \subseteq I$ such that the corresponding subausp of $[\tilde{U}/E]_{\xi}^n$ is $n - T(n, \xi, l)$ -uniform. By (3) S contains $\text{th}(\cup J)$. It is easy to see that $\text{th}(X) \in S$ for any $X \subseteq \cup J$, i.e. $\cup J$ is good. But then J should be sandy which is impossible. \square

We define now uniform $n - \xi$ -theories of an ausp \tilde{U} of weight l . $UT_{\xi}^0(\tilde{U}) = \text{Th}^0(\tilde{U})$ and $UT_{\xi}^{n+1}(\tilde{U}) = \{UT_{\eta}^n(M) : M \in Q_{\xi}^{n+1}(\tilde{U})\}$ where $\eta = T(n, \xi, l)$ and $Q_{\xi}^{n+1}(\tilde{U})$ is the collection of $n - \eta$ -uniform ausps $\langle V/E, R \rangle$ where V is a clopen subspace of U , E is a normal congruence of V , $R = \langle Rt : t \in \text{Tr}_{\xi}^n(l + \xi n) \rangle$ and for every $X \in Rt$, $\text{Th}^0(X)$ is the l -trace of t .

THEOREM 4. *There is an algorithm computing $\text{Th}_{\xi}^n(\tilde{U})$ from n, ξ, l and $UT_{\xi}^n(\tilde{U})$ whenever \tilde{U} is an $n - \xi$ -uniform ausp of weight l .*

PROOF: The algorithm uses a recursion in n . Let $\tilde{U} = \langle U, P \rangle$ be an $(n+1) - \xi$ -uniform ausp of weight l . Given $n+1, \xi, l$ and $UT_{\xi}^{n+1}(\tilde{U})$, compute $\eta = T(n, \xi, l)$ and $B = \{\text{Th}_{\eta}^n(M) : M \in Q_{\xi}^{n+1}(\tilde{U})\}$. Let K be the set of ausps $\langle \tilde{V}, Q \rangle = \langle V, (P|V) \hat{\ } Q \rangle$ where V is a clopen subspace of U and $lh(Q) = \xi n$. It suffices to compute $\text{Th}_{\xi}^n(K) = \{\text{Th}_{\xi}^n(M) : M \in K\}$, because $\text{Th}_{\xi}^{n+1}(\tilde{U}) = \{t \in \text{Th}_{\xi}^n(K) : t \text{ is not sandy}\}$. The set S_0 of $n - \xi$ -theories of singleton members of K is computable from n, ξ, l and B .

If $S = \text{Th}_{\xi}^n(K)$, then

(a) $S_0 \subseteq S$, S is closed under discrete sums, and $t(\omega+1) \in S$ for every non-sandy $t \in S$, and

(b) For every $\langle V/E, R \rangle \in Q_{\xi}^{n+1}(\tilde{U})$ with $\{t : Rt \neq 0\} \subseteq S$ there is Q such that $R = (\langle \tilde{V}, Q \rangle / E)_{\xi}^n$.

Clause (a) is clear. To check (b), for every $X \in Rt$ choose Q_X such that $\text{Th}_{\xi}^n(X, Q_X) = t$. The desired Q satisfies $Q|X = Q_X$ for each open fiber X of E .

Given $b = \text{Th}_{\eta}^n(\langle V/E, R \rangle) \in B$, we can compute $\{t : Rt \neq 0\}$, the spectrum of b . If $R = (\langle \tilde{V}, Q \rangle / E)_{\xi}^n$ then the algorithm of Theorem 3 in § 6 computes $\text{Th}_{\xi}^n(V, Q)$ from $n, \xi, l + \xi n$ and b . Therefore (b) implies

(c) If $b \in B$ and S includes the spectrum of b , then the algorithm of Theorem 3 in § 6 is applied to $\langle n, \xi, l + \xi n, b \rangle$ and the result belongs to S .

By Lemma 3, $\text{Th}_{\xi}^n(K)$ is the least subset of $\text{Tr}_{\xi}^n(l + \xi n)$ satisfying (a), (c). Hence it is computable from n, ξ, l and B .

8. Elimination of quantifiers

Let $\tilde{U} = \langle U, P \rangle$ be a 0-uniform ausp and let $\tilde{V} = \langle V, P|V \rangle$ be a subausp of \tilde{U} . It is easy to see that if $\langle V/E, R \rangle \in Q_0^1(\tilde{U})$ (where 0 denotes the zero sequence), then $R = (\tilde{V}/E)^0$ and $\langle V/E, R \rangle = [\tilde{V}/E]^0$.

CLAIM 1. $UT_0^1(\tilde{U})$ is computable from $\text{Th}^0(\tilde{U})$.

PROOF: The identity relation id on U gives $[\tilde{U}/\text{id}]^0 \in Q_0^1(\tilde{U})$. By Claim 4 in § 3 there is $C \subseteq U'$ perfect and nwd in U' . By Claim 2 in § 7 $U-C$ can be crumbled by a congruence e normal on \tilde{U} . $[\tilde{U}/e]^0$ is another member of $Q_0^1(\tilde{U})$. Let $[\tilde{V}/E]^0 \in Q_0^1(\tilde{U})$. If E is the identity relation on V , then $\text{Th}^0[\tilde{V}/E]^0 = \text{Th}^0[\tilde{U}/\text{id}]^0$. Otherwise $\text{Th}^0[\tilde{V}/E]^0 = \text{Th}^0[\tilde{U}/e]$. So $UT_0^1(\tilde{U})$ comprises exactly two elements both computable from $\text{Th}^0(\tilde{U})$.

CLAIM 2. $UT_\xi^n(\tilde{U})$ is computable from n, ξ and $\text{Th}^0(\tilde{U})$.

PROOF: The algorithm uses a recursion in n . Given $n+1, \xi$ and $\text{Th}^0(\tilde{U})$ compute the weight l of U and $\eta = T(n, \xi, l)$. For $M = [\tilde{V}/E]^0 \in Q_0^1(\tilde{U})$ let $KM = \{\langle W/e, R \rangle \in Q_\xi^{n+1}(\tilde{U}): W \text{ is a clopen subspace of } V \text{ and } e = E|W\}$ and $UT_\eta^n(KM) = \{UT_\eta^n(N): N \in KM\}$. It suffices to prove that $UT_\eta^n(KM)$ is computable from $\text{Th}^0(M)$, because

$$UT_\xi^{n+1}(\tilde{U}) = \cup \{UT_\eta^n(KM): M \in Q_0^1(\tilde{U})\}$$

and, by Claim 1, $\{\text{Th}^0(M): M \in Q_0^1(\tilde{U})\}$ is computable from $\text{Th}^0(\tilde{U})$.

Given $M = [\tilde{V}/E]^0 \in Q_0^1(\tilde{U})$, compute $S = \{\text{Th}^0(X, P|X): X \text{ is an open fiber of } E\}$. Let $s^* = \{t \in \text{Tr}_\xi^2(l + \xi n): s \text{ is the } l\text{-trace of } t\}$ and F be the set of functions f with $S = \text{dom}(f)$ and $0 \neq fs \subseteq s^*$ for each $s \in S$. By the induction hypothesis the uniform $n-\eta$ -theory of $N = \langle W/e, R \rangle \in KM$ is computable from $\text{Th}^0(N)$ which is computable from the function f_N associating $\{t \in s^*: Rt \neq 0\}$ with each $s \in S$. It suffices to prove that for each $f \in F$ there is $N \in KM$ with $f_N = f$. Using (Cr2), split each non-empty $(\tilde{V}/E)^0_s$ into disjoint subsets Qt with the same derivative where $t \in fs$. By Claim 1 in § 7, there is an $n-\eta$ -uniform subausp N of the ausp $\langle \tilde{V}/E, Q \rangle$. Clearly, $N \in KM$ and $f_N = f$.

CLAIM 3. \tilde{U} is $n-\xi$ -uniform.

PROOF: By Claim 1 in § 7 there is an $n-\xi$ -uniform subausp \tilde{V} of \tilde{U} , by Claim 2 and Theorem 4 in § 7 all $n-\xi$ -uniform subausps of \tilde{U} have the same $n-\xi$ -theory u . Let X, Y range over clopen subspaces of U , let K be the collection of subausps of \tilde{U} , $\text{th}(X) = \text{Th}_\xi^2(\tilde{X})$, $S_1 = \{\text{th}(X): X \text{ is singleton}\}$, S_2 is the closure of S_1 under discrete sums and $S = S_2 \cup \{u\}$. It suffices to verify conditions (0), (1), (2') and (3) of Lemma 3 in § 7.

(0) is trivial. In order to verify (1) check that $u+u = u$ and $u+s = u$ for each $s \in S_1$. The latter implies $u+s = u$ for each $s \in S_2$.

Checking (2'). Pick $x \in V'$ and crumble $V - \{x\}$ by a normal congruence of \tilde{V} . Together with the previous paragraph it gives $u(\omega+1) = u$.

Checking (3). Let E be a normal congruence on \tilde{X} such that $\text{th}(Y) \in S$ for each open fiber Y of E and $[\tilde{X}/E]_{\xi}^n$ is $n-\eta$ -uniform where $\eta = T(n, \xi, l)$ and l is the weight of \tilde{U} . By Claim 2 \tilde{U} and \tilde{V} have the same $(n+1)-\xi$ -uniform theory; hence there is $\langle Y/e, R \rangle \in \mathcal{Q}_{\xi}^{n+1}(V)$ whose $n-\eta$ -uniform theory coincides with that of $[\tilde{X}/E]_{\xi}^n$. It is easy to see that $\langle Y/e, R \rangle = [\tilde{Y}/e]_{\xi}^n$. By Theorem 3 in § 6 $\text{th}(X) = t(Y) = u \in S$.

THEOREM 4. $\text{Th}_{\xi}^n(\tilde{U})$ is computable from n, ξ and $\text{Th}^0(\tilde{U})$.

PROOF: Use Claims 2, 3 and Theorem 4 in § 7.

COROLLARY 5.

- (i) *The adjusted first-order theory of crumbly spaces is decidable.*
- (ii) *The first-order theory of crumbly spaces is decidable.*
- (iii) *Every two 0-uniform crumbly spaces have the same adjusted first-order theory.*
- (iv) *Every two crumbly spaces without isolated points have the same first-order theory.*

PROOF: (i) and (iii) follow from Theorem 4. Now use Corollary 4 in § 5.

References

- GUREVICH, Y., 1979, *Modest theory of short chains I*, Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 44, pp. 481–490
- HENSON, C. W., C. G. JOCKUSCH, JR., L. A. RUBEL, G. TAKEUTI, 1977, *First order topology*, Dissertationes Mathematicae, vol. 143