Entropic Graphs

Alfred O. Hero

Dept. EECS, Dept Biomed. Eng., Dept. Statistics University of Michigan - Ann Arbor hero@eecs.umich.edu http://www.eecs.umich.edu/~hero

Collaborators: H. Heemuchwala, J. Costa, B. Ma, O. Michel

- Motivating Applications
- Entropic Euclidean Graphs
- A variant: *K*-point entropic graphs
- Application to US image registration

Pattern Matching and Image Retrieval



QUERY





DATABASE

Adaptive radar sensor management



Figure 1: SAR clutter image, target on boundary at column 305.



(a) Image I_1

(b) Image I_0 (c) Registration result

Figure 2: A multidate image registration example



Objective: For given fitness criterion Q, find operator T which minimizes/maximizes Q

Our focus: entropic fitness criterion Q(f)

f: feature density over $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$

Some Popular Entropic *Q*'s

1. Shannon Entropy of feature density f

$$Q(f) = H(f) = -\int f(x)\ln f(x) \, dx$$

2. KL Divergence between feature densities f, g

$$Q(f,g) = D(f||g) = \int f(x) \ln\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right) dx$$

3. Jensen difference between feature densities f,g:

$$Q(f,g) = H(\varepsilon f + (1-\varepsilon)g) - \varepsilon H(f) - (1-\varepsilon)H(g)$$

4. Mutual information within joint feature density $f_{X,Y}$

$$Q(f_{X,Y}) = \operatorname{MI}(X,Y) = \int \int f_{X,Y}(x,y) \ln\left(\frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)f_Y(y)}\right) dx$$

Issue: How to estimate entropic *Q* from measured data? Some possibilities:

- 1. Assume parameteric models for $f, g, f_{X,Y}$
- 2. Quantize feature space and use histograms
- 3. Non-parameteric density estimation of f, g, $f_{X,Y}$

Our Strategy: construct "entropic graphs" on features

A Set of Feature Samples and a Euclidean Spanning Graph



Minimal Euclidean Graphs: MST

Let $T_n = T(X_n)$ denote the possible sets of edges in the class of acyclic graphs spanning X_n (spanning trees).

The Euclidean Power Weighted MST achieves

$$L_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{MST}}(X_n) = \min_{\mathbf{T}_n} \sum_{e \in \mathbf{T}_n} \|e\|^{\gamma}.$$



Minimal Euclidean graphs: *k*-NNG

Let $N_{k,i}(X_n)$ denote the possible sets of *k* edges connecting point x_i to all other points in X_n .

The Euclidean Power Weighted *k*-NNG is

$$L_{\gamma}^{k-NNG}(X_{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min_{N_{k,i}(X_{n})} \sum_{e \in N_{k,i}(X_{n})} |e|^{\gamma}$$





MST for Two Different Samples

Figure 3:



Figure: MST and log MST weights as function of the number of samples.

Asymptotics: the BHH Theorem

Define the MST length functional

$$L_{\gamma}(X_n) = \min_{\mathbf{T}_n} \sum_{e \in \mathbf{T}_n} \|e\|^{\gamma}.$$

Theorem 1 [Beardwood, Halton&Hammersley:1959] Let $X_n = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be an i.i.d. realization from a Lebesgue density f with support $S \subset [0, 1]^d$.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} L_{\gamma}(X_n)/n^{(d-\gamma)/d} = \beta_{L_{\gamma},d} \int_{S} f(x)^{(d-\gamma)/d} dx, \qquad (a.s.)$$

Or, letting $\alpha = (d - \gamma)/d$ $\frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \ln L_{\gamma}(X_n)/n^{\alpha} \rightarrow H_{\alpha}(f) + c \qquad (a.s.)$

Rényi Entropy and Divergence

• Rényi Entropy of order α [Rényi:61,70]

$$H_{\alpha}(f) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \int_{S} f^{\alpha}(x) dx$$

• Rényi α -divergence of fractional order $\alpha \in [0, 1]$

$$D_{\alpha}(f_1 \parallel f_0) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \ln \int_{\mathcal{S}} f_0 \left(\frac{f_1}{f_0}\right)^{\alpha} dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \ln \int_{\mathcal{S}} f_1^{\alpha} f_0^{1 - \alpha} dx$$

– α -Divergence vs. Kullback-Liebler divergence

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1} D_{\alpha}(f_1 || f_0) = \int f_1 \ln \frac{f_1}{f_0} dx.$$

Clustering via K-MST

Assume f is a mixture density of the form

 $f = (1 - \varepsilon)f_1 + \varepsilon f_o,$

where

- f_o is a known (uniform) outlier density
- f_1 is an unknown target density
- $\epsilon \in [0,1]$ is unknown mixture parameter



K-point Minimal Spanning Tree (*K*-MST)

Figure 4: Clustering an annulus density from uniform noise via k-MST.





Greedy partioning approximation to K-MST



Figure 6: A smallest subset B_k^m is the union of the two cross hatched cells shown for the case of m = 5 and k = 17.

Extended BHH Theorem for Greedy K-MST

Fix $\rho \in [0,1]$. If $k/n \rightarrow \rho$ then the length of the greedy partitioning *K*-MST satisfies [Hero&Michel:IT99]

$$L_{\gamma}(X_{n,k}^{*})/(\lfloor \rho n \rfloor)^{\alpha} \to \beta_{L_{\gamma},d} \min_{A: \int_{A} f \ge \rho} \int_{S} f^{\alpha}(x | x \in A) dx \qquad (a.s.)$$

or, alternatively, with

$$H_{\alpha}(f|x \in A) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \int_{S} f^{\alpha}(x|x \in A) dx$$

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\ln L_{\gamma}(X_{n,k}^{*})/(\lfloor \rho n \rfloor)^{\alpha} \to \beta_{L_{\gamma},d} \min_{A: \int_{A} f \ge \rho} H_{\alpha}(f|x \in A) \qquad (a.s.)$$



Figure 7: Waterpouring contruction of minimum entropy density.

<u>k-MST Influence Function</u>



Figure 8: MST and k-MST influence curves for Gaussian density on the plane.

Extension of BHH to Divergence Estimation?

Question: How to go from

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\ln\int f^{\alpha}(x)dx \quad \text{to} \quad \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\ln\int f^{\alpha}(x)g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx ?$$

- g(x): a reference density on \mathbb{R}^d
- Assume $f \ll g$, i.e. for all x such that g(x) = 0 we have f(x) = 0.
- Make measure transformation M(x) such that $dx \to g(x)dx$ on $[0,1]^d$. Then for $Y_n = M(X_n)$

$$L_{\gamma}(Y_n)/n^{\alpha} \rightarrow \beta_{L_{\gamma},d} \int \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right)^{\alpha} g(x)dx, \qquad (a.s.)$$



Figure 9: Top Left: i.i.d. sample from triangular distribution, Top Right: exact transformation, Bottom: after application of exact and empirical transformations.

Clustering Example

 X_n is a sample from the mixture

$$f(x) = (1 - \varepsilon)g(x) + \varepsilon h(x)$$

h(x) is uniform density on $[0,1]^2$

g(x) is triangular density on $[0,1]^2$

 ε is unknown

Objective: Detect deviation of f from triangular and cluster the uniform variates in the sample

Illustration



Figure 10: Left: A sample from triangle-uniform mixture density with $\varepsilon = 0.9$ in the transformed domain Y_n . Right: ROC curves of thresholded α -divergence test for deviation from g. Curves are decreasing in ε over the range $\varepsilon \in \{0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9\}$

Clustering Illustration



Figure 11: Left: the K-MST implemented on the transformed scatterplot Y_n with k = 230. Right: same K-MST displayed in the original data domain.

Bounds on Minimax Convergence Rate

Theorem 2 (Hero, Costa&Ma 2001) Let $d \ge 2$ and $1 \le \gamma \le d - 1$. Assume X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. random vectors over $[0,1]^d$ with density $f \in \Sigma_d(\beta, l), \beta, l > 0$, having support $S \subset [0,1]^d$. Assume also that $f^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{d}}$ is integrable. Then,

$$O\left(n^{-r_{1}(d,\beta)}\right) \leq \sup_{f \in \Sigma_{d}(\beta,l)} E\left[\left|L_{\gamma}(X_{1},\ldots,X_{n})/n^{(d-\gamma)/d} - \beta_{L_{\gamma},d} \int_{S} f^{(d-\gamma)/d}(x)dx\right|^{p}\right]^{1/p} \leq O\left(n^{-r_{2}(d,\gamma)}\right),$$

where
$$r_{1}(d,\beta) = \min\{\frac{4\beta}{4\beta+d}, 1/2\} \quad r_{2}(d,\gamma) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \frac{1}{d}$$

and $\alpha = \frac{d-\gamma}{d}.$

Extension to Partition Approximations

$$L^m_{\gamma}(X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^d} L_{\gamma}(X_n \cap Q_i) + b(m),$$



Figure 12: Partition approximation.

Theorem 3 (Hero, Costa&Ma 2001) Let $L_{\gamma}^{m}(X_{n})$ be a partition approximation to $L_{\gamma}(X_{n})$. Under the same hypotheses as in the previous proposition, if $b(m) = O(m^{d-\gamma})$

$$O\left(n^{-r_{1}(d,\beta)}\right) \leq \sup_{f\in\Sigma_{d}(\beta,l)} E\left[\left|L_{\gamma}^{m(n)}(X_{1},\ldots,X_{n})/n^{(d-\gamma)/d}-\beta_{L_{\gamma},d}\int_{\mathcal{S}}f^{(d-\gamma)/d}(x)\mathrm{d}x\right|^{p}\right]^{1/p} \leq O\left(n^{-r_{3}(d,\gamma)}\right),$$

where

$$r_3(d,\gamma) = rac{lpha}{rac{d-1}{\gamma} lpha + 1} rac{1}{d}$$

This bound is attained by choosing the progressive-resolution sequence $m = m(n) = n^{1/[d(\frac{d-1}{\gamma} \alpha + 1)]}$.

Application: Registration of Breast Images



Figure 13: Three ultrasound breast scans. From top to bottom are: case 151, case 142 and case 162.

MI Registration of Gray Levels (Viola&Wells:ICCV95)

- *X*: a $N \times N$ image (lexicographically ordered)
- *X*(*k*): image gray level at pixel location *k*
- X_0 and X_1 : primary and secondary images to be registered

Hypothesis: $\{(X_0(k), X_i(k))\}_{k=1}^{N^2}$ are i.i.d. r.v.'s with j.p.d.f

$$f_{0,i}(x_0, x_1), \quad x_0, x_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 255\}$$

Mutual Information (MI) criterion: $T = \operatorname{argmax}_{T_i} \hat{MI}$

where MI is an estimate of

$$\mathbf{MI}(f_{0,i}) = \int \int f_{0,i}(x_0, x_1) \ln f_{0,i}(x_0, x_1) / (f_0(x_0) f_i(x_1)) dx_1 dx_0.$$
(1)





Figure 15: Grey level scatterplots. 1st Col: target=reference slice. 2nd Col: target = reference+1 slice.

Higher Level Features

Disadvantages of single-pixel features:

- Only depends on histogram of single pixel pairs
- Insensitive to spatial reording of pixels in each image
- Difficult to select out grey level anomalies (shadows, speckle)
- Spatial discriminants fall outside of single pixel domain
- Alternative: Aggregate spatial features



Generalization: α -MI Registration of Coincident Features

- X: a $N \times N$ US image (lexicographically ordered)
- *Z* = *Z*(*X*): a general image feature vector in a *d*-dimensional feature space

Let $\{Z_0(k)\}_{k=1}^K$ and $\{Z_i(k)\}_{k=1}^K$ be features extracted from X_0 and X_i at K pairs of identical spatial locations

α -MI coincident-feature criterion

$$\mathbf{T} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{T}_i} \hat{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{I}_{\alpha}$$

where \hat{MI}_{α} is an estimate of

$$\mathrm{MI}_{\alpha}(f_{0,i}) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \int \int f_{0,i}^{\alpha}(z_0, z_1) f_0^{1 - \alpha}(z_0) f_i^{1 - \alpha}(z_1) dz_1 dz_0.$$
(2)

α -MI and Decision Theoretic Error Exponents

 H_0 : $Z_0(k), Z_i(k)$ independent H_1 : $Z_0(k), Z_i(k)$ o.w.

Bayes probability of error

$$P_e(n) = \beta(n)P(H_1) + \alpha(n)P(H_0)$$

Chernoff bound

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log P_e(n) = -\sup_{\alpha\in[0,1]}\left\{(1-\alpha)\mathrm{MI}_\alpha(f_{0,i})\right\}.$$

ICA Features

Decomposition of $M \times M$ tag images Y(k) acquired at k = 1, ..., K spatial locations

$$Y(k) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} a_{kp} S_p$$

- $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^P$: statistically independent components
- a_{kp} : projection coefficients of tag Y(k) onto component S_p
- $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^P$ and *P*: selected via FastICA
- Feature vector for coincidence processing:

$$Z(k) = [a_{k1}, \ldots, a_{kP}]^T$$

ICA feature basis for US breast images



Figure 17: Estimated ICA basis set using FastICA

Simpler Objective Function: α-Jensen Difference

1. Extract features from reference and transformed target images:

$$X_m = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^m \text{ and } Y_n = \{Y_i\}_{i=1}^n$$

2. Construct following MST function on X_m and Y_n

$$\Delta L = \ln L_{\gamma}(X_m \cup Y_n)/(n+m)^{\alpha} - \frac{m}{n+m} \ln L_{\gamma}(X_m)/m^{\alpha} - \frac{n}{n+m} \ln L_{\gamma}(Y_n)/n^{\alpha}$$

3. Minimize ΔL_{γ} over transformations producing Y_n .

$$(1 - \alpha)^{-1} \Delta L \rightarrow H_{\alpha} (\varepsilon f_x + (1 - \varepsilon) f_y) - \varepsilon H_{\alpha} (f_x) - (1 - \varepsilon) H_{\alpha} (f_y)$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{m}{m+n}$



Figure 18: MST demonstration for misaligned images



Figure 19: MST for aligned images. "x" denotes reference while "o" denotes a candidate image in the DEM database.

Quantitative Performance Comparisons for US Registration



Figure 20: US registration MSE comparisons.

Conclusions

- 1. Entropic graphs can be used to estimate α -entropy and α -divergence
- 2. MST and k-NN applied to high dimensional feature-based image registration
- 3. Clustering using entropic *K*-point graphs
- 4. Extensions to larger class of continuous quasi-additive graphs (Yukich)