

One-Slide Summary

- CCured enforces memory safety and type safety in legacy C programs. CCured analyzes how you use pointers and either proves the usage safe statically or inserts run-time checks.
- Along the way we'll see cameo appearances by just about every CS 415 topic.

Lecture Outline

- Type and Memory Safety
- CCured Motivation
- SAFE Pointers
- SEQuence Pointers
- WILD Pointers
- Experimental Results
- Analysis

Why Now, Brown Cow?

Two Kinds of Safety

- Type safety is a property of a programming language that prevents certain errors (type errors) that result from attempts to perform an operation on a value of the wrong type.
 - Type safety prevents: "hello" + 3
 - Not Type safe: 3 + (int)"hello"
 Some languages allow unsafe casts between types.
- Memory safety: if a value of type T_1 is read from address A, then the most recent store to A had type T_2 with $T_2 \leq T_1$
 - Store a Dog in memory, read an Animal later



We Need Them Both

- You *cannot* have true Type Safety without Memory Safety
 Why?
- Example:
 - table * t = new table(); char buf[10];
 - buf[10] = buf[11] = buf[12] = buf[13] = 55;
 - t->countLegs();



Memory Safety

- IIITAL RELALL
- Prevents interference

infrastructure

- - ≥50% of reported attacks are due to buffer overruns
- Software engineering advantages

• Essential component of a security

- Memory bugs are hard to find (why?)
- Memory safety ensures component isolation
- Required for soundness of many program analyses (*why*? hint: aliasing)
- Does not even need an explicit specification

C and Memory Safety

- C was designed for flexibility and efficiency
 - Many operators can be used unsafely
 - Memory safety is sacrificed!



- In practice, many C programs use those operators safely
 - Only a small portion of the pointers and operators are responsible for the unsafe behavior

CCured Idea

- 1. Devise a sound type system and a type inference algorithm that handles most C programs
 - Combination of *static* and *dynamic* types
- 2. Insert run-time checks (e.g. array-bounds checks and dynamic type checking) in those places where safety cannot be verified statically

This way we sacrifice performance instead of safety

- Makes sense for more and more applications every day
- Hardware progress improves performance but not safety

CCured Goals

- Compatibility: support existing C code
 - Source-to-source transformation
 - Handle GCC/MSVC source, Makefiles
 - All that is needed is a recompilation: make CC=ccured
- Efficiency: 0-50% overhead rather than 1000% - Other research: 10x, Purify: 20x, BoundsChecker: 150x
- More effective and more efficient than Purify - Because it leverages existing type information in source
 - Use for production code not just during testing

Diseases We Want to Cure



lupus!

- Focus on pointer usage
- Dereferencing a non-pointer (or NULL)
 Invoking a non-function
 - Complicated by casts and union types
- Dereferencing outside of object bounds - Buffer overruns
 - Complicated by pointer arithmetic
 - Not always caught by Purify
- · Freeing non-pointers, using freed memory









$$\begin{array}{c} \hline \mbox{Typing Rules for SAFE Pointers} \\ \hline \hline \mbox{$0:T*safe$} & \hline \mbox{$e:T*safe$} \\ \hline \hline \mbox{$0:T*safe$} & \hline \mbox{$e:T$} \\ \hline \hline \mbox{$e:T$} \\ \hline \mbox{$safe$} \\ \hline \mbox{t} \\ \hline \mbox{t} \\ \hline \mbox{$safe$} \\ \hline \mbox{$T*safe$} \\ \hline \mbox{T} \\ \hline \mbox{$safe$} \\ \hline \mbox{T} \\ \hline \mbox{$safe$} \\ \hline \mbox{t} \\ \hline$$









e : T * seq (T * safe) e : T * safe





Quiz

- How many forward sequence and sequence pointers are in a typical C program?
- Answer: about 25% FSEQ, 1% SEQ

The WILD West

• So far we have not faced the really ugly pointers - Those that are cast to incompatible types

- We call them WILD pointers
- For these we cannot count on the static type!
 - We must keep run-time type tags (cf. Python, Ruby)
- Operations allowed:
 - read/write
 - assign an integer
 - pointer arithmetic
 - cast to other WILD pointers



WILD Pointer Invariants and Representation

T * wild

- Can be a non-pointer (any integer) _
- Carries the bounds of a dynamic home area (containing only integers and dynamic pointers)
- Has only WILD pointer aliases
- Must do a non-pointer and a bounds check







WILD Pointers are Highly Contagious

- A WILD pointer will force other pointers to be WILD as well:
 - All pointers to which it is assigned
 - All pointers from which it is assigned
 - All pointers that it points to
- We need ways to reduce the number of WILD pointers
 - Better understanding of casts



• Invariant: T is a subtype of T₁



Pointer-Kind Inference

- For every pointer in the program
 - Try to infer the fastest sound representation
- We construct a whole-program data flow graph
 - We collect constraints about pointer kinds
 - Then linear-time constraint solving
- Analysis can be modularized if the interfaces are annotated with pointer kind
- Extremely simple, fast and predictable









- CCured handles all of C:
 - vararg, function pointers, union types, GCC extensions
- CCured works on low-level code - Apache modules, Linux device drivers
- CCured scales to large programs
 - sendmail, openssl, ssh, bind (>= 100K lines)
 ACE infrastructure (>= 1M lines)
- CCured often requires manual intervention
 must change between 1/100 to 1/300 lines of code

Experimental Results

Slowdown of CCured and Purify vs. C

(low numbers = good)						
	ijpeg	compress	go	li	bh	tsp
CCured	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.8	1.5	1.1

51

50

94

42

• 0-80% slowdown

30

Purify

• 60-100% of pointers are statically known to be type safe

• Found bugs in SPEC95 benchmarks

28

The GOOD, the UGLY, and the BAD

- Standard techniques from type theory can be used to understand the "type safety" of existing C programs
- CCured works automatically in most cases
- Most pointers are SAFE and some are SEQUENCE
- The slowdown is minimal in many cases - The uglier your program the slower it will be

The GOOD, the UGLY, and the BAD

- Occasional significant slowdown
 Typically due to either large number of WILD or SEQUENCE pointers
- Increased memory footprint
 - Larger code size
 - Some pointers take 64-bits and some even 96-bits
- CCured is confused by custom-memory allocators
 - Forced to treat them WILD
 - Or to trust the allocator (as in the experiments)

The GOOD, the UGLY, and the BAD

- Incompatibilities with some libraries
 - Due to different layout of data structuresSolved by writing wrappers
- Some programs require changes
 - Those that store addresses of locals in the heap
 - Those that cast pointers to integers and then back
- Some (non-portable) programs are terminally-ill
 - Self-modifying programs
 - Those that depend on the size of pointers
 - Those that intentionally skip from one field to another
 - ...

Future Work

Allow the programmer to define new pointer kinds Derived from the existing ones

- Maybe even brand new ones ?

- Open the door to type-safe interoperability with C

- Type-safe Java native methods
- Type-safe inline C in C# programs

Check it out at

http://hal.cs.berkeley.edu/ccured/

Homework

- PA5 Due Friday April 27 (tomorrow)
- Final Examination
 - Block 4
 - Thursday May 10
 - 1400-1700
 - MEC 214