Lexical Analysis


Finite Automata

(Part 2 of 2)



Cunning Plan

- Regular expressions provide a concise
 notation for string patterns
- Use in lexical analysis requires extensions
 - To resolve ambiguities
 - To handle errors
- Good algorithms known (next)
 - Require only single pass over the input
 - Few operations per character (table lookup)



One-Slide Summary

- Finite automata are formal models of computation that can accept regular languages corresponding to regular expressions.
- Nondeterministic finite automata (NFA) feature epsilon transitions and multiple outgoing edges for the same input symbol.
- Regular expressions can be **converted** to NFAs.
- Tools will generate DFA-based lexer code for you from regular expressions.

Finite Automata

- Regular expressions = specification
- Finite automata = implementation

- A finite automaton consists of
 - An input alphabet $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$
 - A set of states S
 - A start state n
 - A set of accepting states $\mathbf{F} \subseteq \mathbf{S}$
 - A set of transitions state $\rightarrow^{\text{input}}$ state

Finite Automata

Transition

$$S_1 \rightarrow^a S_2$$


Is read


In state s_1 on input "a" go to state s_2

- If end of input
 - If in accepting state \Rightarrow accept
 - Otherwise \Rightarrow reject
- If still input, no transitions possible \Rightarrow reject

Finite Automata State Graphs


- A state
 - The start state
 - An accepting state





A Simple Example


• A finite automaton that accepts only "1"



 A finite automaton <u>accepts</u> a string if we can follow transitions labeled with the characters in the string from the start to some accepting state

Another Simple Example


- A finite automaton accepting any number of 1's followed by a single 0
- Alphabet $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$



 Check that "1110" is accepted but "110..." is not

And Another Example

- Alphabet $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- What language does this recognize?




Web

Did you mean: how to hook up a horse to a kitchen sink

And A Fourth Example


• Alphabet still $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$



- The operation of the automaton is not completely defined by the input
 - On input "11" the automaton could be in either state

Epsilon Moves

• Another kind of transition: ϵ -moves



Machine can move from state A to state B
 without reading input



Deterministic and Nondeterministic Automata


- <u>Deterministic Finite Automata (DFA)</u>
 - One transition per input per state
 - No ϵ -moves
- Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA)
 - Can have multiple transitions for one input in a given state
 - Can have $\epsilon\text{-moves}$
- Finite automata have finite memory
 - Need only to encode the current state

Execution of Finite Automata

- A DFA can take only one path through the state graph
 - Completely determined by input
- NFAs can choose
 - Whether to make ϵ -moves
 - Which of multiple transitions for a single input to take

Acceptance of NFAs

• An NFA can get into multiple states



- Input: 1 0 1
- Rule: NFA accepts if it <u>can</u> get in a final state


NFA vs. DFA (1)

- NFAs and DFAs recognize the same set of languages (regular languages)
 - They have the same <u>expressive power</u>
- DFAs are easier to implement
 - There are no choices to consider



NFA vs. DFA (2)

• For a given language the NFA can be simpler than the DFA



• DFA can be *exponentially* larger than NFA

Natural Languages

• This North Germanic language is generally mutually intelligible with Norwegian and Danish, and descends from Old Norse of the Viking Era to a modern speaking population of about 10 million people. The language contains two genders, nouns that are rarely inflected, and a typical subject-verb-object ordering. Its home country is one of the largest music exporters of the modern world, often targeting English-speaking audiences. Bands such as Ace of Base, ABBA and Roxette are examples, with over 420m combined album sales.

Unnatural Languages


- This stack-based structured computer programming language appeared in the 1970's and went on to influence PostScript and RPL. It is typeless and is often used in bootloaders and embedded applications. Example: 25 10 * 50 +
- Simple C Program:

int floor5(int v) { return (v < 6) ? 5 : (v - 1); }

- Same program in *this* Language:
 - : FLOOR5 (n -- n') DUP 6 < IF DROP 5 ELSE 1 THEN ;


Regular Expressions to Finite Automata

• High-level sketch




Regular Expressions to NFA (1)


- For each kind of rexp, define an NFA
 - Notation: NFA for rexp A



• For ε




For input a




Regular Expressions to NFA (2)


• For AB



• For A | B



Regular Expressions to NFA (3)






Example of RegExp -> NFA Conversion

- Consider the regular expression

 (1 | 0)* 1
- The NFA is



Overarching Plan

NFA

Regular expressions

DFA

Lexical Specification


Table-driven Implementation of DFA

> Thomas Cole – Evening in Arcady (1843) #24

NFA to DFA: The Trick

- Simulate the NFA
- Each state of DFA
 - = a non-empty *subset of states* of the NFA
- Start state
 - = the set of NFA states reachable through $\epsilon\text{-moves}$ from NFA start state
- Add a transition $S \rightarrow^a S'$ to DFA iff
 - S' is the set of NFA states reachable from the states in S after seeing the input a
 - considering $\epsilon\text{-moves}$ as well

$NFA \rightarrow DFA Example$




NFA \rightarrow DFA: Remark

- An NFA may be in many states at any time
- How many different states?
- If there are N states, the NFA must be in some subset of those N states
- How many non-empty subsets are there?
 - 2^{N} 1 = finitely many

Implementation

- A DFA can be implemented by a 2D table T
 - One dimension is "states"
 - Other dimension is "input symbols"
 - For every transition $S_i \rightarrow^a S_k$ define T[i,a] = k
- DFA "execution"
 - If in state S_i and input a, read T[i,a] = k and skip to state S_k
 - Very efficient

Table Implementation of a DFA



	0	1
S	Т	U
Т	Т	U
U	Т	U


Implementation (Cont.)

- NFA \rightarrow DFA conversion is at the heart of tools such as flex or ocamllex
- But, DFAs can be huge
- In practice, flex-like tools trade off speed for space in the choice of NFA and DFA representations

PA2: Lexical Analysis


- Correctness is job #1.
 - And job #2 and #3!

- Tips on building large systems:
 - Keep it simple
 - Design systems that can be tested
 - Don't optimize prematurely
 - It is easier to modify a working system than to get a system working



Lexical Analyzer Generator

- Tools like *lex* and *flex* and *ocamllex* will build lexers for you!
- You must use such a tool for PA2



- I'll explain ocamllex; others are similar
 - See PA2 documentation

Ocamllex "lexer.mll" file

```
{
  (* raw preamble code
      type declarations, utility functions, etc. *)
let re_name, = re,
rule normal_tokens = parse
  re<sub>1</sub> { token<sub>1</sub> }
  re<sub>2</sub> { token<sub>2</sub> }
and special_tokens = parse
re { token }
```

Example "lexer.mll"

```
{
  type token = Tok_Integer of int
                                         (* 123 *)
                                         (* / *)
       | Tok_Divide
}
let digit = ['0' - '9']
rule initial = parse
  '/'
             { Tok_Divide }
| digit digit* { let token_string = Lexing.lexeme lexbuf in
               let token_val = int_of_string token_string in
              Tok_Integer(token_val) }
             { Printf.printf "Error!\n"; exit 1 }
```

Adding Winged Comments

```
type token = Tok_Integer of int
                                      (* 123 *)
        | Tok_Divide
                                      (* / *)
}
let digit = ['0' - '9']
rule initial = parse
  "//" { eol_comment }
  ']'
             { Tok_Divide }
 digit digit* { let token_string = Lexing.lexeme lexbuf in
                let token_val = int_of_string token_string in
                Tok_Integer(token_val) }
               { Printf.printf "Error!\n"; exit 1 }
```

Using Lexical Analyzer Generators

- \$ ocamllex lexer.mll
- 45 states, 1083 transitions, table size 4602 bytes
- (* your main.ml file ... *)
 let file_input = open_in "file.cl" in
 let lexbuf = Lexing.from_channel file_input in
 let token = Lexer.initial lexbuf in
 match token with
 | Tok_Divide -> printf "Divide Token!\n"
 - | Tok_Integer(x) -> printf "Integer Token = %d\n" x

How Big Is PA2?

- The reference "lexer.mll" file is 88 lines
 - Perhaps another 20 lines to keep track of input line numbers
 - Perhaps another 20 lines to open the file and get a list of tokens
 - Then 65 lines to serialize the output
 - I'm sure it's possible to be smaller!
- Conclusion:
 - This isn't a code slog, it's about careful forethought and precision.

Warning!


- You may be tempted to use OCaml for PA2 based on that demo.
- However, you probably want to save OCaml for one of the harder assignments later.

They asked me to play a role in the Sound of Music

It's a Trapp!

Test Yourself! Exam Practice.

- Are practical parsers and scanners based on deterministic or non-deterministic automata?
- How can regular expressions be used to specify nested constructs?
- How is a two-dimensional *transition table* used in tabledriven scanning?



Homework

• Textbook Reading, CD Reading - 2.4