

This appears in arXiv: 2306.00269

## Best $L_p$ Isotonic Regressions, $p \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$

Quentin F. Stout

Computer Science and Engineering, University of Michigan  
qstout@umich.edu    www.eecs.umich.edu/~qstout/

**Extended Abstract:** Given a real-valued weighted function  $f$  on a finite dag  $G$ , an  $L_p$  isotonic regression of  $f$  is a nondecreasing function on  $G$  which minimizes the  $L_p$  regression error. Isotonic regression comes up in a wide array of applications, and while  $L_2$  regression is most commonly used, there is also longstanding interest in  $L_1$  and  $L_\infty$ , and more recent interest in  $L_0$ .  $L_p$  isotonic regression is unique for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , but not when  $p \in [0, 1] \cup \{\infty\}$ . We are interested in determining a “best” isotonic regression for  $p \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , where by best we mean a regression satisfying stronger properties than merely having minimal norm.

One approach is to use *strict  $L_p$  regression*, which is the limit of the best  $L_q$  approximation as  $q$  approaches  $p$ . When  $p = \infty$  this is known as the Polya approach, and when  $p = 1$  is sometimes called the Polya-1 approach. A quite different approach is to use *lex regression*, which is based on lexical ordering of regression errors. The ordering for  $L_\infty$  uses the errors in decreasing order, while for  $L_0$  they are in increasing order. For  $L_\infty$  the strict and lex regressions are unique and the same. For  $L_1$ , strict  $q \searrow 1$  is unique, but we show that  $q \nearrow 1$  may not be, and even when it is unique the two limits may not be the same. The strict  $q \searrow 1$  approach has also been used to determine a best median. For  $L_0$ , in general neither of the strict and lex regressions are unique, nor do they always have the same set of optimal regressions, but by expanding the objectives of  $L_p$  optimization to  $p < 0$  we show  $p \nearrow 0$  is the same as lex regression.

We also give algorithms for computing the best  $L_p$  isotonic regression in certain situations. One is a refinement of a previous algorithm for  $L_\infty$ , based on the lex definition, and another determines  $L_1$  for a linear order, based on the strict  $q \searrow 1$  definition. The latter uses  $L_1$  partitioning and pool adjacent violators (PAV).

[Paper](#)

**Keywords:** strict isotonic regression, lex regression, monotonic, Polya approach,  $L_0$ ,  $L_1$ ,  $L_\infty$ , Hamming distance, best median

[My work on shape-constrained regression](#) (isotonic, unimodal, step). Particularly relevant is a paper on strict  $L_\infty$  and lex ordering.